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Nanotechnology research often demands skills in multiple disciplines, from physics and materials science to chemistry and measurement system design. Although it would be impossible to predict all the technical innovations that nano research will offer, it’s already clear that nanoscience will be a major driver of the economy of the future. However, characterizing tomorrow’s nanoscale components and materials will be far from trivial because many of their electrical properties lie at the very edge of the measurement envelope.

To unravel tiny mysteries and turn nanoscale materials and devices into commercial products, researchers must have tools with the flexibility to handle a variety of electrical measurements, including current vs. voltage (I-V) characterization, resistance, resistivity and conductivity, differential conductance, transport, and optical spectrum and energy. They must also gain an in-depth understanding of the principles and pitfalls associated with low-level electrical measurements.

Nanotechnology Measurement Handbook: A Guide to Electrical Measurements for Nanoscience Applications offers practical assistance in making precision low-level DC and pulse measurements on nanomaterials and devices. It is useful both as a reference and as an aid to understanding low-level phenomena observed in the lab. It provides an overview of the theoretical and practical considerations involved in measuring low currents, high resistances, low voltages, and low resistances.

I hope you find this handbook helpful in your nanoscience research efforts.
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SECTION I

Introduction
Nanotech Innovations Hinge on Measurement Technology and Close Alliances Between Researchers and Instrumentation Designers

Nanotechnology has the potential to improve our quality of life in diverse ways, such as faster electronics, huge memory/storage capacities for PCs, cheaper energy through more efficient energy conversion, and improved security through the development of nanoscale bio- and chemical-detection systems. Before these become commercial realities, researchers must be able to characterize nano material and device properties quickly and accurately.

Optical and electro-optical characterization techniques, such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM), emission microscopy, atomic force microscopy, and ultra-violet microscopy provide valuable information on nanostructures. However, electrical characterization is essential to gain insight into phenomena that occur beneath the surface of nanomaterials. For example, gate dielectrics in advanced semiconductors can have a physical thickness of less than one nanometer; the performance of these dielectrics can only be predicted by evaluating their equivalent electrical thickness. Similar considerations apply to carbon nanotubes and silicon wires, which are the basis for many nano innovations.

Alliances Shorten Time to Market

While government funding provides essential support for nano research, it is also crucial to form alliances between industry and university researchers with complementary areas of expertise. This is especially true in testing, where complex devices and materials present unique measurement challenges. Historically, many scientific advances occurred only after suitable investigative instruments became available. Nanotechnology is following the same path. Nano researchers must either rely on instrumentation companies or take time away from R&D to develop their own measurement systems. Typically, researchers know the material and device physics intimately, but often lack measurement expertise. Moreover, the pressure to commercialize research results as quickly as possible and conserve resources means that researchers can’t spare the time needed to develop in-depth measurement expertise.

However, instrument companies need the insights only researchers can provide in order to develop measurement technologies that will advance the state of the art. Nanotechnology research spans multiple scientific disciplines, including electrical and electronic engineering, computer science, biotechnology, materials engineering, chemistry, and physics. The commercial pressures instrumentation manufacturers face make it all but impossible for any one company to develop equal levels of expertise in all these
disciplines. Alliances with instrumentation companies allow leveraging the expertise of individuals and organizations to create better solutions for researchers.

**Measurement Complexities**

The essence of nanotechnology research is to work at the molecular level, atom by atom, to create structures with fundamentally new properties. Some of the current research involves:

- Carbon nanotube materials and field emission devices
- Semiconducting nanowires of silicon and other materials
- Polymer nanofibers and nanowires
- Nano and molecular electronics
- Single electron devices

One of the main challenges in electrical characterization of these materials and structures is dealing with ultra-low signal levels. Another challenge is the wide range of behavior that these materials and components can exhibit. For example, polymer materials can have resistances greater than one gigaohm. However, when drawn into fibers less than 100nm in diameter and doped with various nanoparticles, a polymer may be changed from a superb insulator into a highly conductive wire. The result is an extremely wide range of test signals. Detecting tiny electrical signals at the low end of the range requires high sensitivity, high resolution instruments such as electrometers, picoammeters, and nanovoltmeters. Also using one of these instruments for high level signals as well demands an instrument with a very wide dynamic range. Instrumentation designers require insight from researchers to tailor measurement solutions to this wide range of needs.

In addition to DC measurements, some devices and structures may need to be characterized with RF signals. Testing some nanoscale structures may involve measurements of multiple RF harmonics far higher than 20GHz. This requires rigorous instrumentation design with reliable low-loss RF connections in the test head and dedicated electronic circuitry for each individual signal path. Otherwise, it becomes impossible to achieve the resolution required for precision measurements that reveal subtleties in nanometer structures.

**Probing and Manipulation Tools Are Key to Nano Measurements**

Still, a sensitive instrument has limited value if it can’t be connected to a device under test properly. For nanoscale devices, the instrument must be connected to a probing system through a high quality signal path that allows rapid, low noise measurements. Therefore, alliances between instrumentation designers and manufacturers of nano-
manipulation and nanoprobing tools are essential in constructing a complete measurement solution.

By working together closely, nano researchers and instrumentation manufacturers can create innovative and comprehensive measurement solutions that are essential for developing the next generation of nanostructures, nanomaterials, MEMS (Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems), and semiconductor devices. These alliances will also be instrumental in speeding up the transfer of nanotechnology from the research lab to the production environment.
SECTION II
Nanotechnology Testing Overview
Emerging Challenges of Nanotech Testing

Nanotechnology is an important new area of research that promises significant advances in electronics, materials, biotechnology, alternative energy sources, and dozens of other applications.

Understanding how new building-block materials like nanocrystals, nanotubes, nanowires, and nanofibers will perform in the electronic devices of tomorrow demands instrumentation that can characterize resistance and conductivity over wide ranges. Often, this requires the measurement of very small currents and voltages.

Nanotechnology research is advancing rapidly. In fact, many scientists and engineers find their existing measurement tools lack the sensitivity or resolution needed to effectively characterize the low-level signals associated with research in nanotech materials. Meanwhile, others are scrambling to keep up with the rapid changes in measurement requirements that new discoveries create.

The ability to create accurate and repeatable measurements at the nano-scale level is critical to engineers seeking to develop these next generation materials.

The Challenges of Nanotech Testing

With nanoelectronic materials, sensitive electrical measurement tools are essential. They provide the data needed to understand the electrical properties of new materials fully and the electrical performance of new nanoelectronic devices and components. Instrument sensitivity must be much higher because electrical currents are much lower and many nanoscale materials exhibit significantly improved properties, such as conductivity. The magnitude of measured currents may be in the femtoamp range and resistances as low as micro-ohms. Therefore, measurement techniques and instruments must minimize noise and other sources of error that might interfere with the signal.

An equally important, if often overlooked, factor is that research tools and instruments must be easy to use and cost-effective. The importance of these characteristics will grow as industry employment grows. Some of the present tools are unnecessarily complex, with too many buttons on front displays that confuse users and make the learning curve steeper. Also, data transfer mechanisms are often tedious and can require extensive amounts of storage media, graphical analysis can take too long, and programming steals time away from research. Department heads and managers who must make the hard choices about equipment investments should examine these issues carefully, and compare instrument features before committing funds.

To advance the state-of-the-art rapidly, researchers can’t be bogged down with programming chores and arcane details of instrument operation. User-friendly instruments are important, not only to researchers and technicians, but also to design engineers and manufacturing specialists who must take new discoveries and convert them into
practical products. To meet this challenge, today’s state-of-the-art electrical characterization systems are typically PC-based with the familiar point-and-click, cut-and-paste, and drag-and-drop features of the Windows® operating system. These system features make test setup, execution, and analysis more time efficient by shortening the learning curve.

There are also many difficulties when testing at the nanoscale level. For instance, it is incredibly complex to probe down to the device level for failure analysis and other testing. This requires new testing equipment, probers, and new nanotech measurement standards.

**The Need for Standards**

With the proliferation of nanotechnology devices comes the need for quality standards for these devices, specifically, when it comes to testing. A new standard was worked out and approved by the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE). The approved IEEE 1650™-2005 standard, known as “Standard Methods for Measurement of Electrical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes,” gives the burgeoning nanotechnology industry one uniform and common set of recommended testing and data reporting procedures for evaluating the electrical properties of carbon nanotubes. A carbon nanotube is a tubular structure that has become a major focus of nanomaterials research because it displays a variety of exciting properties for creating nanoscale, low power consumption electronic devices. A nanotube can even act as a biological or chemical sensing device in some applications, or as a carrier for individual atoms.

The new standard contains a variety of testing apparatus recommendations and measurement practices for making electrical measurements on carbon nanotubes in order to minimize and/or characterize the effect of measurement artifacts and other sources of measurement error. The new testing standard should aid in the commercialization of nanotubes by providing uniformity between lab researchers and design engineers eager to put lab results into commercial use on the production line. Recommended measurement data to report as specified in the new standard include electrical resistivity, conductivity, carrier mobility, and non-linear behaviors.

The new standard promises to greatly aid in accelerating the commercialization of nanoscale materials and electronic devices for the semiconductor industry, along with many other industries. The new standard lets those buying carbon nanotubes speak the same language as the manufacturers when it comes to the electrical properties and quality of the products they’re purchasing.

Standards for “beyond CMOS” nanoelectronics will help create a common communication platform throughout the industry so we can all speak the same language as well as create acceptable practices for electrical testing. Testing and measurement standards
can be of added value to researchers who are looking for commonly accepted best practices and methods of reporting data on nanoelectronic device research.

**The Need for Pulse Testing**

During device development, structures like single electron transistors (SETs), sensors, and other experimental devices often display unique properties. Characterizing these properties without damaging one-of-a-kind structures requires systems that provide tight control over sourcing to prevent device self-heating.

With more devices shrinking in size, the demand for new kinds of test techniques increases. Namely, as devices get smaller, the method of testing changes. No longer can you send sizable currents through devices in order to test them. A current too large can irreversibly damage a component.

What is needed are shorter bursts of energy. This comes in the way of pulse testing. An instrument that can deliver an extremely short duration pulse, on the order of a few nanoseconds, with tight control over parameters such as rise time, fall time, pulse

---

**Figure 1.** This example of pulses created with the burst output mode shows how a user can program a series of pulses.
width, and voltage and current levels is a great asset to engineers and scientists doing cutting edge research.

In addition, voltage pulsing can produce much narrower pulse widths than current pulsing, so it’s often used in experiments such as thermal transport, in which the time frame of interest is shorter than a few hundred nanoseconds. High amplitude accuracy and programmable rise and fall times are necessary to control the amount of energy delivered to a nanodevice.

Consequently, the need for pulsed sources has been growing over time. This need is driven, in part, by the higher operating speeds of today’s electronic circuits. The higher operating speed requires test equipment that can produce simulated clock and data signals at the rate that the circuits will actually perform. Also, analog components used in these circuits behave differently at higher speeds, so they can’t be characterized at DC using traditional DC methods. See Figure 1.

As components become smaller, the need for pulsed testing techniques becomes more critical. Smaller devices are more susceptible to self-heating, which can destroy or damage the part or can change its response to test signals, masking the response the user is seeking. Pulse testing is commonly used when characterizing nanoelectronic devices.

Pulse generators are especially helpful for material characterization in nanotechnology, which includes transient analysis and stress testing.
Electrical Measurements on Nanoscale Materials

This discussion explains the importance of electrical measurements to the science of nanotechnology, and presents practical considerations in making these measurements. Topics include material and structural characteristics that can be explored with electrical measurements and why these measurements are important for even non-electronic nanoscale materials, such as those used in structural and biological applications. The discussion then explains how to perform and evaluate electrical nanotechnology measurements, emphasizing the use of source-measure instruments with nano-manipulators.

Testing for Unique Properties

Like the testing of other engineered materials, measurements made on substances created with nanotechnology are aimed at discovering useful properties. Generally, the relevant properties relate to specific applications or products. For bulk materials, the bulk properties of interest may include tensile strength, phase transition temperature, weight, hardness, electrical and thermal conductivity, etc. Nanotechnology offers ways to create new materials that have improved properties for applications such as structural members, electronic circuit elements, optical devices, and a host of other uses [1].

In some respects, the testing of bulk materials and those created with nanotechnology are similar. However, the nature of nanotech materials requires some novel testing techniques. Since these materials are built at the atomic or molecular level, quantum mechanics come into play. As a result of small particle sizes, the atoms and molecules of these new materials may bond differently than they might otherwise in bulk substances. There may be new electronic structures, crystalline shapes, and material behavior. Nanoparticles with these new properties can be used individually or as building blocks for bulk material. While the discovery of bulk properties remains important, measurements also need to uncover the characteristics unique to nanoscale structures.

An excellent example is the carbon nanotube (CNT). CNTs can be manufactured to consist of a single sheet of carbon atoms oriented in a graphite-like structure, rolled up into the shape of a tube only a few nanometers in diameter [2]. The smallest diameter tubes are insulators (i.e., large bandgap materials). As the diameter of the CNT increases, its bandgap decreases. With a large enough diameter, a CNT can become a semiconductor. At even larger diameters, CNTs exhibit metallic properties, acting like conductors.

Structure and Behavior of the Very Small

Particle size and structure have a major influence on the type of measurement technique used to investigate a material. Macroscopic materials can be viewed with optical microscopes. For nanoscopic materials with particles smaller than 200 nanometers, a scanning tunneling microscope (STM) or an Atomic Force Microscope (AFM) can be
used. **Table 1** outlines the relative sizes of particles considered as nanoscopic, mesoscopic, and macroscopic.

**Table 1. Relative sizes of various particle classifications.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Particle Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopic</td>
<td>&gt;300nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoscopic</td>
<td>Varies with phase coherence length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoscopic</td>
<td>0.5 – 200nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic</td>
<td>&lt;0.5nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM, STM, and AFM techniques are particularly useful for crystalline nano-particle structures. **Figure 1** illustrates the atomic structures for some typical crystals with well-known forms, such as simple cubic (SC), body-centered cubic (BCC), or face-centered cubic (FCC). Knowing the arrangement of atoms in those structures helps predict a particle’s properties. However, on a nanoscopic scale, it is the particle’s size that fundamentally alters the physics of its behavior and dictates the use of other measurement methodologies.

**Figure 1. Common crystalline atomic structures:**
(a) Simple Cubic (SC), (b) Body-Centered Cubic (BCC), (c) Face-Centered Cubic (FCC). Inorganic metals frequently have the FCC form.

Two important sets of properties change as particle sizes are reduced to nanometer dimensions, i.e., the material’s chemical and electrical characteristics. This even applies to biological materials. (See examples in **Figure 2**.) Therefore, most of these materials require chemical and electrical testing to characterize them for practical product applications. For many of them, the actual quantity being measured is a low level current or voltage that was translated from another physical quantity [3]. Direct electrical measurements are possible on many nanoscopic substances with the probing instruments and nano-manipulators now available.

**Electronic Properties**

As a substance is reduced to nanoscopic dimensions, both the band gap and the distance between adjacent energy levels within the material’s electron energy bands are altered. These changes, along with a particle’s nanoscopic size with respect to
the material’s mean free path (average distance an electron travels between scattering events), directly affect the electrical resistance of a nanoparticle. More generally, a material’s bandgap directly influences whether a particle is a conductor, an insulator, or a semiconductor.

These influential electronic properties allow, for example, a CNT to be used to create a transistor switch [4]. One way to do this is by connecting a semi-conducting CNT between two electrodes that function as a drain and source. A third electrode (the gate) is placed directly under the entire length of the carbon nanotube channel (Figure 3). For a semiconducting CNT, the introduction of an electric field through the channel (via the insulated gate placed in proximity to the CNT channel) can be used to change the CNT from its semiconducting state to its insulating state by increasing the gate voltage. Decreasing the gate voltage will transition the device into a conducting state. (See Figure 3b and earlier text on CNT bandgap and conducting states.) This conduction mechanism is analogous to the operation of a silicon MOSFET transistor switch, which is created by doping silicon with either an electron acceptor or donor to alter the

Figure 2. Molecular chemical composition of individual bases that form DNA. The linear sequence of these bases in DNA encode unique amino acid sequences to build all cellular components.
material’s electronic conductivity in specific localities. (Additional information on CNTs is available from the IBM Research Division, Nanoscience Department [5].)

Chemical Properties

The chemical properties of materials are also heavily influenced by the electronic structure of the atoms within the molecules (bonding type, bandgaps, etc.) and by the size and shape of the particles. Just as electronic properties change as particles are reduced to nanoscopic dimensions, the bandgap and distance between adjacent levels within electron energy bands alter chemical reaction possibilities. In a substance composed of nanoscopic particles, a larger percentage of the atoms in the mass will be exposed to the material’s surface. This also influences chemical properties, especially for those molecules that can act as a catalyst.
Properties Associated with Electrical Measurements

For macroscopic sized particles, electrons take on discrete quantums of energy that lie within energy bands, with each band consisting of many energy levels that electrons can share through their thermal energies. For a conducting material, electrons can be thermally excited into the conduction band (i.e., electrons are present in the valence as well as in the conduction band). For an insulator (bandgap > thermal energy of the electron), enormous energy is required for an electron to transition from the valence to the conduction band separated by the material bandgap. If a suitable amount of energy is absorbed (> bandgap), then electrons can jump bands.

As a particle’s size is reduced to nanoscopic dimensions, the allowable energies within the continuous bands separate into discrete levels (since there are far fewer atoms in the mix). This occurs when the separation between energy levels approaches the thermal energy of the electrons. See Figure 4. With fewer energy levels within the specific energy band, the density of states of the material changes.

The density of states is a measure of the number of energy options available to an electron as it falls into a lower energy level by giving up energy or as it ascends to a higher energy level after absorbing energy. A corollary is that if the density of states is known, the size of the particle can be deduced.

The density of states can be used to engineer characteristics of a nanoscopic particle, particularly a metallic or semiconducting particle. For example, these materials take on color by selectively absorbing and reflecting light wavelengths. If the material cannot absorb photons with certain wavelengths because its density of states has changed (removing critical energy levels associated with absorption), then the color of the material will change.
If a particle becomes small enough, its physical size may approach the wavelength of the material’s electrons. Quantum size effects must be considered whenever a particle approaches this critical dimension. Because of quantum mechanics, the energy of a particle’s electrons cannot be predicted by the bonding normally associated with the bulk material.

Nevertheless, electron energy effects can be deduced from electrical measurements when nanoparticles take part in a chemical reaction of the oxidation-reduction (REDOX) type, such as the chemical-electrical conversion that takes place in fuel cells or batteries. Briefly stated, every reaction of this type is associated with the transfer of a specific number of electrons from one species to another. The number of electrons transferred depends on the specific reaction(s) taking place and on the frequency of the reactions (reaction rates). Electrical measurements of the transferred electrons can be used to determine reaction rates by tracking the current and potential of the cell with time. Reaction and conduction measurements are then used to track particle size, density of states, reaction rates, and other nanoscopic properties.

**Determining the Density of States**

Characterizing the density of states is a fundamental activity in nanoscopic material research. Density of states (3D dimensionality) as a function of energy can be expressed as:

$$\rho(E) = \frac{dn_s}{dE} = \frac{4\pi(2m)^{3/2}}{h^3}\sqrt{E}$$

This represents the number of electron states per unit volume per unit energy at energy $E$, where:

- $m =$ the effective mass of the particle,
- $h =$ Plank’s constant, and
- $E =$ the energy (electron orbital location) in electron volts.

While the result is independent of volume (can be applied to any size particle), this equation is of limited value if the particle size/structure is unknown. However, there are other ways to determine the density of states experimentally from which the particle size can be found. The 0D and 1D density of states as applied to nanoparticles are discussed below.

**X-Ray Spectroscopy**

The density of states can be measured by bombarding a material with electrons. The energy of the bombarding electrons excites the material’s electrons into higher energy levels. X-ray emission takes place as these electrons return to lower energy levels. The x-ray emission energy indicates the difference between the energy level harboring the excited electron and the level that recaptures the electron after the emission. Fewer
energy levels mean fewer electrons are excited, yielding lower radiation intensities as they return to lower energy states. A spectrographic analysis of the resulting x-ray emission energies and intensities vs. the bombarding electron energies reveals the density of states for the material.

**Direct Electrical Measurements**

Since the density of states can be used to predict the electrical behavior of materials, it is also possible to use electrical impedance measurements to derive density of states information. Prior art has used a scanning tunneling microscope (STM) to tunnel a current through a nanoscopic device. The density of states is found by plotting differential conductance vs. applied voltage. Differential conductance is simply (di/dv).

In this technique, the quiescent current vs. voltage characteristics are established through the STM's high resistance contact with a low level AC modulation on top of the quiescent operating point to measure the differential conductance, di/dv. When this conductance is plotted against voltage, the graph indicates the material's density of states.

Highly conductive materials possess an abundance of free energy levels in the conduction band, i.e., greater density of states (more individual allowed energy levels per unit energy). Insulating materials have an electronic structure with a dearth of occupied energy levels in the conduction band. Since density of states corresponds to the density of these energy levels, a plot of conduction vs. voltage provides a direct measure of the electronic density of states at each energy level (voltage across the device).

---

**Figure 5.** Nano-manipulator probing of nanoscale structures:

(a) Microscopic view of low impedance probe contact to a CNT for direct electrical measurements.

(b) Photo of a nano-manipulator head assembly.

(Courtesy of Zyvex Corporation)
SMU/Nano-manipulator Methodology

An alternate approach to this technique is to replace the STM and its high resistance contact with a nano-manipulator that makes low resistance contacts to the nanoparticle. Such an arrangement allows charge transport and density of states measurements without an STM. This works well into the conduction region thanks to the low resistance direct connections of the nano-probes on the material (particle) being tested.

The nano-manipulator and its probes, along with a source-measure unit (SMU), are used to apply a current or voltage stimulus directly to the nanoparticle and measure its corresponding voltage or current response. (See Figure 5.) The advantage of electrical source-measure testing is rooted in the fact that a specific SMU measurement mode (source current/measure voltage or vice versa) can be chosen based on the relative impedance of the material or device under test (DUT). Furthermore, the measurement mode can change dynamically as the impedance changes, such as occurs in CNTs acting as semiconductor switches. This allows a much wider dynamic range of voltage and current stimuli and measurements, thereby optimizing parametric test precision and accuracy. SMU voltage and current sensitivity can be as good as 1 microvolt and 100 atto-amp.

Probing and Connection Issues

Electrical measurements on nanoscopic materials place stringent requirements on the instrumentation. In order to measure conductivity, impedance, or other electrical properties, and relate those measurements to the density of states, a galvanic connection must be made to the nanoscopic DUT [6]. This represents one of the major hurdles to be overcome in the field of nanotechnology testing. There are only a few tools available and few device constructs that facilitate connections of this type.

Often, nanoscopic particles are classified dimensionally in terms of exactly what it is about them that is small. Quantum wells represent material with nanoscopic measurements in only a single dimension, such as a thin film. Quantum wires are small in two dimensions (or diameter), and quantum dots are nanoscopic in all Cartesian coordinates.

Particle self-assembly can be accomplished from silicon to silicon, where conventional photolithographic techniques are used to make electrical connection pads for probing. Particles that are long enough to straddle such pads (for example, carbon nanowires) can be connected to the pads through externally generated electrostatic fields. Figure 5 illustrates an example of this.

Although the properties of quantum wells, wires, and dots differ, it’s possible that information about a particular material in the form of a quantum dot can be inferred by examining the same material fashioned as a quantum wire or well (nano-film). Nano-films are particularly easy to measure since only one dimension is small. Such a film
might be deposited on a conductive substrate, allowing measurements through the volume as well as over the surface, using appropriately placed macroscopic test pads formed on the material surface. For conductive materials, separate pads for source and measure can be deposited to create a Kelvin (4-wire) connection [7]. This type of circuit eliminates test lead resistance from the measurement and improves accuracy. In any case, a quantum well (nano-film) can be tested like any other bulk material.

A nano-manipulator and STM can also be used to make direct electrical connections to nanoparticles. STMs do this by a tunneling current, established by closing the distance between the STM tip and the nanoparticle to within a single electron wavelength.

**Electrical Measurement Considerations**

Electrical measurements on passive devices (any device that is not a source of energy) are made by following a simple procedure: stimulate the sample in some way and measure its response to the stimulus. This method also works for devices that have both passive and active properties with linear or non-linear transfer functions. With appropriate techniques, a source-measure algorithm can be useful for characterizing sources of energy. Fuel cell and battery impedance spectroscopy are examples of such measurements.

For nanoscopic particles, this general method takes the form of source-measure testing to quantify impedance, conductance, and resistance, which reveal critical material properties. This test methodology is useful even if the end application is not an electronic circuit.

**General Considerations**

Important considerations in the characterization of nanoscopic particles include the following:

1. Nanoscopic particles will not support the magnitude of currents that macroscopic device can carry (unless they are super-conducting). This means that when a device is interrogated, the magnitude of a current stimulus must be carefully controlled.

2. Nanoscopic particles will not hold off as much voltage from adjacent devices as a conventional electronic component or material (such as a transistor). This is due to the fact that smaller devices can be and are placed closer together. Smaller devices have less mass and they may be affected by the forces associated with large fields. In addition, internal electric fields associated with nanoscopic particles can be very high, requiring careful attention to applied voltages.

3. Since nanoscopic devices are small, they typically have lower parasitic (stray) inductance and capacitance. This is especially useful when they are used in
an electronic circuit, enabling faster switching speeds and lower power consumption than comparable macroscopic devices. However, this also means that instrumentation for characterizing their I-V curves must measure low currents while tracking the short reaction time.

**Speed, Sensitivity, and Accuracy**

Since nanoscopic test applications often require low current sourcing and measurement, appropriate instrument selection and use is critical for accurate electrical characterization. Besides being highly sensitive, the instrumentation must have a short response time (sometimes referred to as high bandwidth), which is related to a DUT's low capacitance and ability to change state rapidly at low currents. This was illustrated earlier in **Figure 3c** (I-V characteristics of a carbon nanotube used as a switch).

**Measurement Topology**

It's important to recognize that the switching speed of a source-measure test circuit may be limited by the instrumentation used to follow the state of the device. This is especially true if a non-optimal measurement topology is used to observe the device. The two possible topologies are source current/measure voltage or source voltage/measure current.

When considering the measurement of low impedance devices (less than 1000Ω), the source current/measure voltage technique will generally yield the best results. Current sources are stable when applied to lower impedances, and a good signal to noise ratio can be achieved without great difficulty. This allows accurate low voltage response measurements.

The alternative, source voltage/measure current, is not as well suited for low impedances. Exceptionally low values of applied voltage are required to keep device currents low and avoid destructive heating. At such low voltages, the source tends to contribute excessive noise to the measured current (response). In other words, the source's noise voltage is a significant percentage of the total applied voltage. Additionally, voltage sources are less stable with low impedance loads. There may also be current measurement problems related to an instrument's voltage burden (the voltage that develops across the input of an ammeter circuit), which introduces additional error.

When measuring high impedance devices (impedance greater than 10,000Ω), the source voltage/measure current technique is best. Stable voltage sources to drive high impedances are easily constructed. When a well-designed voltage source is placed across a high impedance, it will quickly charge the stray capacitance of the DUT and test cables and rapidly settle to its final output value. The small current response of the DUT can be accurately measured with an appropriate ammeter.

The alternative, source current/measure voltage, creates problems in high impedance measurements. To keep the voltage response low enough for practical measure-
ments, this technique requires a low current value. This means that it will take a great deal of time to charge the device and cable capacitances. In addition, the high voltage measurement circuits will draw some of the source current from the DUT. Since the current is sourced, not measured, through the device, this current draw represents an error in the measurement.

**Electrical Noise**

Measurement topology also has an impact on electrical noise, which is the ultimate limitation on measurement sensitivity and accuracy. For low impedance voltage measurements with a current source, the measurement circuits will be sensitive to DUT voltage noise and impedance.

For macroscopic devices, such as a resistor, the Johnson noise voltage at room temperature (270ºK) is expressed as:

\[ V_N = \sqrt{4kTBR} \]

where

- \( k \) = Boltzmann’s constant
- \( T \) = Absolute temperature of the source in degrees Kelvin
- \( B \) = Noise bandwidth in Hertz
- \( R \) = Resistance of the source in ohms

which can be further simplified to:

\[ V_N = 6.5 \times 10^{-10}\sqrt{BR} \]

![Figure 6.](image)

(a) Circuit model for the source voltage/measure current technique.
(b) Modified model illustrating the noise gain (op-amp noise “gained up”) when the DUT impedance is low compared to the measurement impedance.
This equation shows that as DUT resistance, $R$, decreases, the Johnson voltage noise generated by the DUT also goes down. Conversely, high impedance devices stimulated with a voltage source are limited by current measurement noise. The Johnson current noise of a resistor at 270ºK is:

$$I_n = 6.5 \times 10^{-10} \frac{\sqrt{BR}}{R}$$

indicating that the noise goes down as DUT resistance increases.

For all particle sizes, in addition to Johnson noise, there could be a noise gain associated with the measurement topology chosen. Noise gain is a parasitic amplification of the noise of the measurement system that is not present when the correct measurement topology is chosen. For example, consider a source voltage/measure current topology. An operational amplifier is used in many current measurement (ammeter) circuits, as shown in Figure 6. To minimize noise gain, the ammeter circuit must operate at a low gain with respect to its non-inverting input terminal.

**Source-Measure Instruments**

A commercial DC source-measure unit (SMU) is a convenient test tool for many nanoscopic material and device measurements. SMUs change measurement topology automatically (i.e., rapidly switch between source voltage/measure current and vice versa). This makes it easier to minimize measurement noise while maximizing measurement speed and accuracy.

As described earlier for a carbon nanotube, some nanoparticles can change state with the application of an external field. When investigating such materials, an SMU can be configured to source voltage and measure current for a nanoparticle in its high impedance state. When the material is in its low impedance state, more accurate results are achieved by sourcing current and measuring voltage. Furthermore, the SMU has a current compliance function that can automatically limit the DC current level to prevent damage to the material or device under test (DUT). Similarly, there is a voltage compliance function when current is being sourced.

When using the compliance function, an SMU will satisfy the source value unless the user’s compliance value is exceeded. For example, when an SMU is configured to source voltage with a preset current compliance, if that compliance value is exceeded, the SMU automatically starts acting as a constant current source. Its output level then will be the compliance current value. Alternately, if the SMU is set to source current with a compliance voltage, it will automatically switch to sourcing voltage (the compliance voltage) if the DUT impedance and the current it draws begin to drive the voltage higher than the compliance value.
While a nanoscopic device, such as a CNT switch, can change states rapidly, the change in instrument state is not instantaneous. Depending on the SMU model, the switching time can range from 100ns to 100µs. Although such switching speeds are not fast enough to track a nanoparticle as it changes state, the time is short enough to allow accurate measurements of both states while limiting DUT power dissipation to acceptable levels.

**Pulsing Techniques**

Choosing the correct measurement topology to improve measurement speed and minimize noise may still fall short of the test needs for some nanoscopic materials. For example, it appears that some CNTs can switch 1000 times faster than conventional CMOS transistor switches. This is too fast for the nano-amp ranges of commercial picoammeters. Demanding devices such as these may require other techniques to improve the speed of impedance measurements.

Low power pulsing techniques may offer a partial solution to this problem, and are available in some SMU designs. The idea is to use a much higher test current or test voltage and apply this large stimulus for a short sourcing cycle. The larger stimulus will lower the sourcing noise (by improving the signal-to-noise ratio) and improve the rise or settle time for a voltage pulse or current pulse, respectively. Quieter sources require less filtering and permit a shorter sourcing cycle time (narrower pulse width). A larger source stimulus also increases the response current or voltage so that higher instrument ranges can be used, further minimizing the effects of noise. Since there is less noise, the measurement acquisition time (integration period) can be shortened, thereby speeding up measurements.

**Avoiding Self-Heating Problems**

A possible source of error is self-heating due to excessive electrical current through the DUT. Such currents may even lead to catastrophic failure of the sample. Therefore, instrumentation must automatically limit source current during device testing. Programmable current and voltage compliance circuits are a standard feature of most SMU-based test systems with pulsed current capabilities, and may be required to avoid self-heating of some low resistance structures.

When an elevated test current is required, it must be sufficiently brief so that it does not introduce enough energy to heat the DUT to destructive temperatures. (Nanoscopic devices tolerate very little heat, so the total energy dissipated in them must be maintained at low levels.) In addition, care must be taken that the magnitude of the test current is low enough that the DUT’s nanoscopic channel does not become saturated. (For instance, a current channel 1.5nm in diameter severely limits the number of electrons that can pass per unit of time.) Some nanoscopic devices can support only a few hun-
dred nano-amps of current in their conductive state. Thus, a device’s saturation current may define the maximum test current even in pulsed applications.

The equation below illustrates how duty cycle and measurement time in pulse mode effect DUT power dissipation. To calculate power dissipation in pulse mode, multiply the apparent power dissipation (V·I) by the test stimulus time and divide by the test repetition rate:

$$P_p = P_a \frac{T_r}{T_i}$$

where:
- $P_p$ = Pulse power dissipation
- $P_a$ = Apparent power (i.e., V·I)
- $T_i$ = Test time
- $T_r$ = Test repetition rate

Pulse mode is also useful for density of state measurements using a low impedance connection, such as through a nanomanipulator. Pulsing allows measurements at previously forbidden I/V locations due to particle self-heating.

**Other Instrument Alternatives**

Advanced AC+DC current sources, such as the Keithley Model 6221, also offer a pulse mode. This waveform generator permits the user to optimize pulse current amplitude, pulse intervals, pulse width, and trigger synchronization with a measuring instrument, such as a nanovoltmeter [8]. With built-in synchronization, the nanovoltmeter can take a reading within microseconds after a pulse is applied. This greatly facilitates differential conductance measurements and allows resistance measurements from 10nΩ to 100MΩ. Such an instrument combination is a high performance alternative to AC resistance bridges and lock-in amplifiers.

These advanced instruments can measure differential conductance up to ten times faster and with lower noise than earlier solutions. This is accomplished in a single sweep, rather than averaging the results of multiple sweeps, which take more time and are prone to error. In addition, these instruments can be used in delta mode, which further improves measurement accuracy. Taken together, these techniques can improve measurement accuracy by as much as three orders of magnitude compared to some test solutions.

**Electrical Impedance Spectroscopy**

Electrical impedance spectroscopy is relevant to many devices that use nanoscopic materials. One example is an electrochemical cell that uses a nanoscopic material as a catalyst on a membrane electrode assembly (MEA). The reactive component of the cell’s complex impedance provides a direct measure of the chemical reaction rate at the terminals of the anode and cathode of the cell – which is a direct reflection of the catalyst
operation. In other applications, the reactive component can describe the dielectric charge distribution of a material and the ease or difficulty that an external field would have in re-orienting the material dielectric.

This technique goes beyond the simple measurement of impedance magnitude with a DC voltage or current. Complex impedance is an AC (or pulsed DC) property that can be described as a vector with a magnitude and a phase. The phase describes the relationship, in time, between the voltage or current stimulus (zero phase reference) and the resultant current or voltage response. At any frequency, the impedance can be described in this manner as a magnitude with an associated phase angle. Complex impedances must be computed at a specific excitation frequency; phase angle changes with frequency for any device that can be modeled as a resistance in series or parallel with capacitance or inductance.

By measuring complex voltage and current, the vector impedance can be calculated by dividing the complex voltage by the complex current. This requires only that the relative time is logged with each voltage and current measurement. The final results are found by computing the complex Fourier transform, which expands time domain data into the frequency domain.

The complex impedance phase angle describes exactly the time lead or lag between the stimulus and resulting DUT response, since any phase angle can be transformed into a time by the following relationship:

\[
\frac{\text{Phase}}{360} = \text{Time} \times \text{Frequency}
\]

This is the shift in time between the stimulus and the response, regardless of whether each is a voltage or a current. So, if we record time along with voltage and current measurements, we can, through an appropriate mathematical transform, compute the complex impedance.

We have already discussed the importance of selecting the correct measurement topology to minimize noise and maximize system speed. In addition, to accurately characterize complex impedance, the instrument and measurement technique must allow an appropriate sample rate. Furthermore, the instrument must have a stable time base in order to compute the impedance mathematically. The required sample rate, acquisition time, and mathematical transform will depend on the required accuracy and nature of the DUT’s complex impedance. A discussion of these criteria can be quite lengthy and is beyond the scope of this discussion. More information on digital signal processing is available from Analog Devices Corporation [9].

**Application Example: CNT Field Emission Display**

Typically, when CNTs are made, both conducting and semiconducting forms occur. When the two forms are separated, the conducting nanotubes can be used as emitters
for field emission displays, and the semiconducting nanotubes can be used to make electronic switches as discussed earlier. (See Figure 3.)

CNT field emitters play a role similar to that of the electron gun used in a cathode ray tube. However, each pixel in a field emission display has its own electron gun, a CNT field emitter. Each emitter must be smaller than the display pixel and able to emit electrons without dissipating too much heat. Since the CNT acts as a cathode, and this is a cold cathode emission process, a very high electric field intensity is required. This is possible because of the CNT’s nanoscopic dimensions [10].

The CNT field emitters must have other properties that make this application practical. They must not erode away in the presence of the pixel currents flowing through them. This means that the emitter material’s molecular bonding must be stronger than the energies associated with the emission current. The physical characteristics of the emitter must be such that a gate structure can be placed between it (the cathode) and the phosphor-coated screen of the display (the anode). This gate is used to switch the pixel on and off.

A field emitter display is a capacitive device, so the appropriate measurement topology is the source current/measure voltage type. This data is used to calculate the field emitters’ impedance, and measurements are taken for emitter conductance over the entire operating frequency range. Emitter voltage is measured from the common cathode to the common anode, with one or many emitters enabled via their addressable gates. AC impedance can be measured from DC up to the maximum required refresh rate of the display. Since emitters are electrical conductors, a low impedance with acceptable capacitive and inductive components indicates a good display. Naturally, a conductor such as this should have adequate densities of states in the conduction band to support the required conduction current.

Even though nanoscopic devices tend to have low capacitance due to their small size, additional mechanisms must be considered when they are combined with other materials and structures, such as those in a field emission display. The macroscopic design of such a display predicts a very high capacitance between the anodes, gates, and cathodes. Nevertheless, due to the dimensions required for cold electron emission, each cathode current must be in the range of nA to µA and must turn on or off quickly if the display is to be used (and tested) as a raster device. This calls for measuring low currents at a high bandwidth with the proper measurement topology and techniques discussed earlier.

Conclusions

The electronic structure of nanoscopic particles is a reflection of the atomic electron energies and the distribution of orbitals for both molecularly shared and free electrons. This kind of information can be used to describe how such materials will interact in the presence of energy and other materials. The density of states in a material is directly
related to its electronic structure and is useful in predicting or manipulating its properties. It can be found through direct electrical measurements of differential conductance. Thus, the density of states can predict a material's electrical impedance and vice versa.

Still, there is a right way and a wrong way to electrically interrogate a nanoscopic material, depending on its impedance. For a low impedance material, the source current/measure voltage method will result in the least electrical noise and allow the most accurate response measurement with the widest bandwidth. For a high impedance material, the source voltage/measure current method is more appropriate for similar reasons. At times, the appropriate measurement mode must be used in unison with yet another voltage or current source to activate or stimulate the device, such as is the case with the field emission display.
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SECTION III

Low-Level Measurement Techniques
Recognizing the Sources of Measurement Errors: An Introduction

As good as semiconductor characterization systems are, making ultra-low current measurements on nanoelectronic and molecular scale devices is not trivial. Potential sources of measurement error must be understood and steps taken to reduce or eliminate them. Otherwise, a researcher will lack confidence in the characterization of materials and devices under test (DUTs). Typically, nanoscale devices are characterized with semiconductor test instruments and probe station systems, such as the one shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The following examples and techniques can improve low-level current measurements.

**External Leakage Currents**

Currents in the nanoamp to picoamp range are typically measured on nanoelectronic devices. External leakage current error sources must be minimized and instrument system leakage quantified. External leakage currents typically are generated between the measurement circuit and nearby voltage sources. These currents significantly degrade the accuracy of low current measurements. One technique for minimizing leakage currents in a test circuit is the use of high quality insulators (Teflon, polyethylene, and sapphire), and reduce the humidity of the test environment.

*Figure 1. Example of a Windows®-based semiconductor characterization solution, the Keithley Model 4200-SCS.*
Insulators absorb water vapor from the air, with the amount absorbed dependant on the insulator material and humidity level. When the insulator contains ionic contaminants, spurious current generation can be especially troublesome in high humidity environments. The best insulator choice is one on which water vapor does not readily form a continuous film. However, this may be unavoidable if the DUT absorbs water easily. In that case, it’s best to make the measurements in an environmentally controlled, low-humidity room.

The use of guarding is a principal method of reducing leakage currents in a test circuit. A guard is a conductor connected to a low impedance point in the circuit that is at nearly the same potential as the high impedance lead being guarded (for example, In/Out HI in Figure 3). Guarding can isolate the high impedance input lead of an electrometer, picoammeter, or source-measure unit (SMU) from leakage current due to voltage sources. Guarding can also reduce the effect of shunt capacitance in the measurement circuit.

**Grounding and Shielding**

It is important to distinguish between an instrument’s common and chassis grounds. The common is the ground for the complete measurement circuit; it will affect the system’s low-level measurement performance. In contrast, the chassis ground is connected to the power line ground and is mainly used for safety reasons. Usually, there are
no problems associated with connecting these grounds together. Sometimes, however, the power line ground can be noisy. In other cases, a test fixture and probe station connected to the instrument may create a ground loop that generates additional noise. Accurate low-level measurements require a comprehensive system-grounding plan.

Although grounding and shielding are closely related, they are actually two different issues. In a test fixture or probe station, the DUT and probe typically are enclosed in soft metal shielding. The metal enclosure helps eliminate interference from power lines and high frequency radiation (RF or microwave) and reduces magnetic interference. The metal normally is grounded for safety reasons. However, when an instrument is connected to a probe station through triaxial cables (the type used for guarded connections), physical grounding points are very important.

The configuration in Figure 4a illustrates a common grounding error. Note that the instrument common and the chassis ground are connected. The probe station is also grounded to the power line locally. Even more significant, the measurement instrument and the probe station are connected to different power outlets. The power line grounds of these two outlets may not be at the same electrical potential all the time. Therefore, a
Figure 4a. Grounding connections that create ground loops.

Figure 4b. Grounding connections that avoid ground loops.
fluctuating current may flow between the instrument and the probe station. This creates what is known as a ground loop. To avoid ground loops, a single point ground must be used. Figure 4b illustrates a better grounding scheme for use with a probe station.

**Noise**

Even if a characterization system is properly shielded and grounded, it’s still possible for noise to corrupt measurement results. Typically, instruments contribute very little to the total noise error in the measurements. (For example, a good characterization system has a noise specification of about 0.2% of range, meaning the p-p noise on the lowest current range is just a few femtoamps.) Noise can be reduced with proper signal averaging through filtering and/or increasing the measurement integration period (i.e., integrating over a larger number of power line cycles).

The most likely sources of noise are other test system components, such as long cables or switching hardware inappropriate for the application. Therefore, it is advisable to use the best switch matrix available, designed specifically for ultra-low current measurements. Then, keep all connecting cables as short as possible.

Generally, system noise has the greatest impact on measurement integrity when the DUT signal is very small (i.e., low signal-to-noise ratio). This leads to the classic problem of amplifying noise along with the signal. Clearly then, increasing the signal-to-noise ratio is key to low-level measurement accuracy.

Some characterization systems offer a low noise pre-amplifier option that allows measurements down to the sub-femtoamp level. To get that level of sensitivity, it is best to mount the pre-amps remotely on a probe station platen. With this arrangement, the signal travels only a very short distance (just the length of the probe needle) before it is amplified. Then, the amplified signal is routed through the cables and switch matrix into the measurement hardware.

**Settling Time**

Fast, accurate, low current measurements depend a great deal on the way system elements work together. Measurement instruments must be properly synchronized with the prober and switching matrix, if one is used. Improper synchronization and source-measure delay may lead to a collection of signals unrelated to the real device parameters.

Settling time can vary widely for different systems, equipment, and cabling. It results mainly from capacitance inherent in switch relays, cables, etc., but may also be affected by dielectric absorption in the insulating materials of system components. High dielectric absorption can cause settling time to be quite long.

In most test situations, it is desirable to shorten test time to the minimum required for acceptable accuracy. This requires using the optimum source-measure delay, which is
a function of the instrumentation source and measurement time, along with the system settling time. The latter usually is the dominant portion of source-measure delay time.

A step voltage test is typically used to characterize system settling time. A 10V step is applied across two open-circuit probe tips, and then current is monitored continuously for a period of time. The resulting current vs. time (I-t) curve (Figure 5) illustrates the transient segment and the steady current segment. Immediately after the voltage step, the transient current will gradually decay to a steady value. The time it takes to reach the steady value is the system settling time. Typically, the time needed to reach 1/e of the initial value is defined as the system time constant.

With the system leakage I-t curve in hand, the next step is to establish the acceptable measurement sensitivity or error. Suppose the task requires accurate DUT leakage measurements only at the picoamp level. Then, source-measure delay time can be established by a point on the transient portion of the system settling curve (Figure 5) where the leakage current is at a sub-picoamp level. If the expected DUT current is in the femtoamp range, then the delay time must be extended so that the transient current reaches a value lower than the expected reading before a measurement is taken.

**System Leakage Current**

Once the transient current has settled to its steady value, it corresponds to the system leakage current. Typically, system leakage current is expressed as amperes per volt.
To determine its magnitude, simply measure the steady-state current and divide by the voltage step value. The magnitude of the system leakage current establishes the noise floor and overall sensitivity of the system. Usually, the largest leakage current contributors are the probe card and switching relays.

**Extraneous Current**

Errors in current measuring instruments arise from extraneous currents flowing through various circuit elements. In the current measurement model of Figure 6, the current indicated on the meter (M) is equal to the actual current through the meter (I₁), plus or minus the inherent meter uncertainty. I₁ is the signal current (Iₛ), less the shunt current (Iₛₕ) and the sum of all generated error currents (Iₑ).

**Error Current Model**

Figure 6 identifies the various noise and error currents generated during typical current measurements, which contribute to the error sum (Iₑ). The Iₑ current generator represents noise currents produced within the DUT and its voltage source. These currents could arise due to the aforementioned leakage and dielectric absorption, or due to electrochemical, piezoelectric, and triboelectric effects. Iₑ generates currents in the interconnection between the meter and the source/DUT circuit. Iₑ represents the error current arising from all internal measuring instrument sources. Iₑ is generated by the thermal activity of the shunt resistance. The rms value of this thermal noise current is given by:

$$Iₑ = (4kTf/Rₛₕ)\frac{1}{2}$$

where:
- $k =$ Boltzman’s constant ($1.38 \times 10^{-23}$J/K)
- $T =$ Absolute temperature in °K
- $f =$ Noise bandwidth in Hz
- $Rₛₕ =$ Resistance in ohms

**Making the Most of Instrumentation**

Making accurate low current measurements on nanoelectronic, moletronic (molecular electronic), and other nanoscale devices demands a thorough analysis of potential error sources, plus steps to reduce possible errors. These steps include selection of appropriate grounding and shielding techniques, cables, probe cards, switching matrices, etc. These efforts allow nanotechnology researchers to make the most of the capabilities inherent in modern device characterization systems.

Properly applied, these systems can speed up development of CNT and molecular electronic structures, which may ultimately redefine the processes used to fabricate semiconductor devices. By providing a means for economical, massive integration, such technology could pave the way for new computing architectures, 100× speed increases, significant reduction in power consumption, and other breakthroughs in performance.
Current Source \( I_E = I_{SE} + I_{CE} + I_{RE} + I_{IE} \)

- \( I_S \): Source current
- \( I_{SE} \): Source noise current
- \( I_{CE} \): Interconnection noise current
- \( R_{SH} \): Shunt resistance
- \( I_{RE} \): Shunt resistance noise
- \( I_{IE} \): Instrument error current

Figure 6. Source of current error in a Shunt Type Ammeter.
Examples of Low Current Measurements on Nanoelectronic and Molecular Electronic Devices

**Nanotech Development**

Moore’s Law states that circuit density will double every 18 months. However, in order to maintain this rate of increase, there must be fundamental changes in the way circuits are formed. Over the past few years, there have been significant and exciting developments in nanotechnology, particularly in the areas of nanoelectronics and molecular electronic (also called moletronic) devices.

As is well known, Moore’s Law within the semiconductor industry is being challenged even further as devices continue to shrink down to molecular levels. These new nanoelectronic devices require careful characterization and well thought out manufacturing processes in order for commercialization to take place. Thus, professional organizations such as IEEE and SEMI (Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International) are collaborating to develop the next generation of measurement and metrology standards for the industry.

Below a semiconductor scale of 100nm, the principles, fabrication methods, and ways to integrate silicon devices into systems are quite different, but apparently not impossible. Still, the increasing precision and quality control required for silicon devices smaller than 100nm will presumably require new fabrication equipment and facilities that may not be justified due to high cost. Even if cost were not a factor, silicon devices have physical size limitations that affect their performance. That means the race is on to develop nanodimensional devices and associated production methods.

**Carbon Nanotube and Organic Chain Devices**

Two types of molecules that are being used as current carrying, nano-scale electronic devices are carbon nanotubes and polyphenylene-based chains. Researchers have already demonstrated carbon nanotube based FETs, nanotube based logic inverters, and organic-chain diodes, switches, and memory cells. All of these can lead to early stage logic devices for future computer architectures.

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have unique properties that make them good candidates for a variety of electronic devices. They can have either the electrical conductivity of metals or act as a semiconductor. (Controlling CNT production processes to achieve the desired property is a major area of research.) CNT current carrying densities are as high as $10^{9}$A/cm², whereas copper wire is limited to about $10^{6}$A/cm². Besides acting as current conductors to interconnect other small-scale devices, CNTs can be used to construct a number of circuit devices. Researchers have experimented with CNTs in the fabrication of FETs, FET voltage inverters, low temperature single-electron transistors, intramolecular metal-semiconductor diodes, and intermolecular-crossed NT-NT diodes [1].
The CNT FET uses a nanotube that is laid across two gold contacts that serve as the source and drain, as shown in Figure 1a. The nanotube essentially becomes the current carrying channel for the FET. DC characterization of this type of device is carried out just as with any other FET. An example is shown in Figure 1b.

Figure 1b shows that the amount of current (I_{SD}) flowing through a nanotube channel can be changed by varying the voltage applied to the gate (V_g) [2]. Other tests typically performed on such devices include a transconductance curve (upper right corner of Figure 1b), gate leakage, leakage current vs. temperature, substrate to drain leakage, and sub-threshold current. Measurements that provide insight into fundamental properties of conduction, such as transport mechanisms and I-V vs. temperature are critical.
Polyphenylene molecules are another approach to developing active electronic components. The nanopore test structure shown in Figure 2 is based on polyphenylene molecules deposited between two gold electrodes on a silicon wafer. This structure serves as a probe pad, allowing a researcher to make probe connections for I-V characterization of nanoscale devices, such as molecular diodes (see Figure 3).

With such I-V curves, researchers have determined that molecules can conduct small amounts of electrical current. Although I-V measurement methods are typical for device characterization, the levels of current measured are lower than those of many semiconductor devices fabricated today.
I-V characterization of moletronic devices requires low level current measurements in the nanoamp to femtoamp range. To complicate matters, these measurements are quite often made at cryogenic temperatures. Therefore, highly sensitive instruments are required, and appropriate measurement and connection techniques must be employed to avoid errors. Typically, nanoelectronic and moletronic devices are characterized with semiconductor test instruments and probe station systems, such as the one shown in Figure 4.
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AC versus DC Measurement Methods for Low Power Nanotech and Other Sensitive Devices

Sensitive Measurement Needs

Researchers today must measure material and device characteristics that involve very small currents and voltages. Examples include the measurement of resistance and I-V characteristics of nanowires, nanotubes, semiconductors, metals, superconductors, and insulating materials. In many of these applications, the applied power must be kept low in order to avoid heating the device under test (DUT), because (1) the DUT is very small and its temperature can be raised significantly by small amounts of applied power, or (2) the DUT is being tested at temperatures near absolute zero where even a milli-degree of heating is not acceptable. Even if applied power is not an issue, the measured voltage or current may be quite small due to extremely low or high resistance.

Measurement Techniques and Error Sources

The key to making accurate low power measurements is minimizing the noise. In many low power measurements, a common technique is to use a lock-in amplifier to apply a low level AC current to the DUT and measure its voltage drop. An alternative is to use a DC current reversal technique. In either case, a number of error sources must be considered and controlled.

Johnson noise places a fundamental limit on resistance measurements. In any resistance, thermal energy produces the motion of charged particles. This charge movement results in Johnson noise. It has a white noise spectrum and is determined by the temperature, resistance, and frequency bandwidth values. The formula for the voltage noise generated is:

\[ V_{\text{Johnson}} (\text{rms}) = \sqrt{4kTRB} \]

where:
- \( k \) = Boltzmann’s constant \( (1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K}) \)
- \( T \) = Absolute temperature in degrees Kelvin
- \( R \) = DUT resistance in \( \Omega \)
- \( B \) = Noise bandwidth (measurement bandwidth) in Hz

Johnson noise may be reduced by:
- Reducing bandwidth with digital filtering (averaging readings) or analog filtering
- Reducing the temperature of the device
- Reducing the source resistance

External noise sources are interferences created by motors, computer screens, or other electrical equipment. They can be controlled by shielding and filtering or by
removing or turning off the noise source. Because these noise sources are often at the power line frequency, it is common practice to avoid test frequencies that are exact multiples or fractions of 60Hz (or 50Hz) when making lock-in measurements. With the DC reversal technique, the same result is achieved by integrating each measurement for an integer number of power line cycles.

*Thermoelectric voltages* are generated when different parts of a circuit are at different temperatures and when conductors made of dissimilar metals are joined together. Reducing thermoelectric voltages can be accomplished by keeping all connections at the same temperature and using crimped copper-to-copper connections wherever possible. Given that it is rarely possible to use copper everywhere in the circuit (DUTs are rarely copper themselves), a measurement technique, such as the lock-in technique or the DC reversal method, is required to eliminate noise due to thermal effects.

*Test lead resistance* can also create an additive error in the resistance being measured. To prevent lead resistance from affecting measurement accuracy, the four-wire (Kelvin) measurement configuration should be used.

*1/f noise* is a term used to describe any noise that has increasing magnitude at lower frequencies. Noise with this characteristic can be seen in components, test circuits, and instruments. It can be caused by environmental factors, such as temperature or humidity, or by chemical processes within components, which are often given the label “aging,” “burn-in,” or “drift.” 1/f noise can be observed as a current, voltage, temperature, or resistance fluctuation.

For this discussion we are focusing on the 1/f voltage noise in a measurement system. Material characteristics of a DUT or a test circuit component greatly influence this type of noise. For example, carbon composite resistors typically exhibit a resistance noise of 0.01% to 0.3%. The noise value for metal film and wirewound resistors is about one-tenth that of composite resistors. Semiconductors fall somewhere in between these two material types.

**Measurement Systems**

In sensitive I-V and resistance measurements, there are two parts to the instrumentation: the current source and the voltage measurement instrument. For lock-in amplifier measurements, the researcher traditionally constructed the source, because precision AC current sources simply were not previously available. For the DC reversal method, a current source with reversible polarity is used, and the DUT response is measured with a nanovoltmeter.

**Lock-in Amplifier Method**

Lock-in amplifiers can measure small AC signals, some down to a few nanovolts. With this type of instrument, accurate measurements can be made even when noise sources are higher than the signal of interest. The lock-in amplifier uses a technique
called phase sensitive detection to single out the signal at a specific test frequency. Noise signals at other frequencies are largely ignored. Because the lock-in amplifier only measures AC signals at or near the test frequency, the effects of thermoelectric voltages (both DC and AC) are also reduced.

**Figure 1** is a simplified block diagram of a lock-in amplifier setup to measure the voltage of a DUT at low power. Current is forced through the DUT by applying a sinusoidal voltage \( \text{Asin}[\text{f}_o \cdot \text{t}] \) across the series combination of \( R_{\text{REF}} \) and the DUT. Usually, \( R_{\text{REF}} \) is chosen to be much larger than the DUT resistance, thereby creating an approximate current source driving the DUT.

![Figure 1. Simplified block diagram of a lock-in amplifier measurement setup.](image)

The amplified voltage from the DUT is multiplied by both a sine and a cosine wave with the same frequency and phase as the applied source and then put through a low pass filter. This multiplication and filtering can be done with analog circuits, but today they are more commonly performed digitally within the lock-in amplifier after the DUT’s response signal is digitized.

The outputs of the low pass filters are the real (in phase) and imaginary (out of phase) content of the voltage at the frequency \( f_o \). DUT resistance values must be calculated separately by the researcher based on the assumed current and measured voltage levels.

Researchers using lock-in amplifiers often choose to operate the instrument at a relatively low frequency, i.e., less than 50Hz. A low frequency is chosen for many reasons. These include (1) getting far enough below the frequency roll-off of the DUT and interconnects for an accurate measurement, (2) avoiding noise at the power line frequency, and (3) getting below the frequency cutoff of in-line electromagnetic interference (EMI) filters added to keep environmental noise from reaching the DUT.

**DC Reversal Measurement Method**

An alternative to lock-in amplifiers uses DC polarity reversals in the applied current signal to nullify noise. This is a well-established technique for removing offsets and low frequency noise. Today’s DC sources and nanovoltmeters offer significant advantages
over lock-in amplifiers in reducing the impact of error sources and reduce the time required to achieve a low noise measurement.

As shown in Figure 2, one simply applies a current to the DUT and measures the DUT voltage, then reverses the current and remeasures the voltage. The difference of the two measurements divided by two is the voltage response of the DUT to the applied current level. Repeating the process and using averaging reduces the noise bandwidth and therefore the noise. These are called “Delta” measurements by some researchers.

![Figure 2. DC reversal measurement circuit using a four-wire lead arrangement.](image)

In the past, this was a manual technique with most instruments, which limited the reversal speed to less than 1Hz. Modern instruments now allow the technique to be automated and the reversal speed increased. The reversal speed sets the frequency that dominates the noise. Higher reversal speed removes low frequency noise and thermal drift better, because these noise sources have lower power at higher frequencies.

To truly compensate for thermal drift, the delta method consists of alternating the current source polarity and using a moving average of three voltage readings to calculate resistance (Figure 3). The three measurements are:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{M1} &= V_{DUT} + V_{EMF} \\
V_{M2} &= -V_{DUT} + V_{EMF} + \delta V \\
V_{M3} &= V_{DUT} + V_{EMF} + 2\delta V,
\end{align*}
\]

where: \(V_{M1}, V_{M2}, \text{ and } V_{M3}\) are voltage measurements

\(V_{DUT}\) = The voltage drop of the DUT due to the applied current

\(V_{EMF}\) = The constant thermoelectric voltage offset at the time \(V_{M1}\) is taken

\(\delta V\) = Linearly changing thermoelectric voltage
Cancellation of both the thermoelectric voltage offset ($V_{EMF}$) and the thermoelectric voltage change ($\delta V$) term is possible through a mathematical computation using the three voltage measurements. First, take one-half the difference of the first two voltage measurements and call this $V_A$:

$$V_A = \frac{(V_{M1} - V_{M2})}{2} = \frac{[V_{DUT} + V_{EMF} - (-V_{DUT} + V_{EMF} + \delta V)]}{2} = \frac{V_{DUT} - \delta V}{2}$$

Likewise, take one-half the difference of the second ($V_{M2}$) and third ($V_{M3}$) voltage measurements and call this term $V_B$:

$$V_B = \frac{(V_{M3} - V_{M2})}{2} = \frac{[V_{DUT} + V_{EMF} + 2\delta V - (-V_{DUT} + V_{EMF} + \delta V)]}{2} = \frac{V_{DUT} + \delta V}{2}$$

Each of these results has eliminated the constant offset, $V_{EMF}$, but still has errors from the drift term, $\delta V$. The average of $V_A$ and $V_B$, however, is simply $V_{DUT}$:

$$V_{final} = \frac{(V_A + V_B)}{2} = \frac{(V_{M1} - 2V_{M2} + V_{M3})}{4} = V_{DUT}$$

Successive readings can then be averaged to reduce the measurement bandwidth to reach desired noise levels.

Upon examination, the preceding mathematics is really the multiplication of a string of $V_M$ readings by a sequence of weightings +1, −1, +1, etc. It is exactly analogous to the way a lock-in amplifier multiplies its acquired signals by the sine functions, which are used as the stimulus. The commercially available current source and nanovoltmeter.
described in the endnote of this discussion automate the entire procedure; resistance values are calculated and displayed by the instrumentation.

**Same Technique, Improved Measurement Hardware**

As we’ve seen, the lock-in amplifier method and DC reversal method are both AC measurements. In both methods, DC noise and the noise at higher frequencies are rejected. However, the nanovoltmeter/current source combination can provide superior measurement capabilities over the entire range of device resistances, as explained in the following paragraphs.

**Measurements on Low Resistance DUTs**

A typical low resistance measurement application is shown in Figure 4. Instrument voltage noise is generally the dominant error in low resistance measurements, but below a certain level of device resistance, common mode noise becomes a problem.

![Figure 4](image)

The four lead resistances shown in Figure 4 vary from 0.1Ω to 100Ω, depending on the experiment. They are important to note, because with low resistance devices, even the impedance of copper connection wires can become large compared to the DUT resistance. Further, in the case of many low impedance experiments carried out at low temperatures, there are often RF filters (e.g., Pi filters) in each of the four device connection leads, typically having 100Ω of resistance.

Regardless of the instruments used to carry out the AC measurements, the test current flows through the source connection leads and develops a voltage drop from the circuit ground to the connection to the DUT, denoted as Circuit Node A. Thus, the voltage at circuit node A moves up and down with an amplitude of $I_{\text{TEST}} \times R_{\text{LEAD}}$ volts, while the $V_{\text{MEASUREMENT}}$ input is trying to detect a much smaller AC voltage of $I_{\text{TEST}} \times R_{\text{DUT}}$.

With the connections in this type of measurement circuit, common mode rejection ratio (CMRR) becomes an issue. CMRR specifies how well an instrument can reject variations in the measurement LO potential. The CMRR specification for a typical lock-in amplifier is 100dB (a factor of $10^5$ rejection). In actual measurement practice, this is
more likely to fall in the range of 85–90dB. By comparison, nanovoltmeters are available with CMRR specifications of 140dB. Combined with a modern current source operating in delta mode, it is possible to achieve a CMRR of better than 200dB in actual measurements.

To understand the impact of CMRR, consider the example described previously. With only 100dB rejection, the measurement of $V_{DUT}$ (which should be $I_{TEST} \times R_{DUT}$) is in fact $I_{TEST} \times R_{DUT} \pm I_{TEST} \times R_{LEAD} / 10^5$. Thus, there is a 1% error when $R_{LEAD}$ is $10^5 \times R_{DUT}$. With 100Ω lead resistance, it is impossible to make a measurement within ±1% error bounds when $R_{DUT}$ is less than 0.1Ω. On the other hand, a modern DC current source and nanovoltmeter, with their combined CMRR of greater than 200dB, can measure resistances as low as 1µΩ within ±1% error bounds, even with a 100Ω lead resistance.

It is also worth noting that in the case of the lock-in amplifier, the current source shown in Figure 4 would likely be a homemade source constructed from the $V_{OUTPUT}$ and a hand-selected (and thoroughly characterized) resistor (R), as shown in Figure 5. Every time a different test current is desired, a new resistor must be characterized, inserted in the circuit, temperature stabilized, and shielded. Even with this effort, it does not deliver constant current, but instead varies as the DUT resistance varies. Now, commercial reversible DC current sources provide stable outputs that are far more predictable without manual circuit adjustments to control current magnitude.

**High Resistance Measurements**

Values of DUT resistance greater than 10kΩ present challenges of current noise and input loading errors. Current noise becomes visible as a measured voltage noise
that scales with the DUT resistance. In both the lock-in amplifier and the DC reversal systems, current noise comes from the measurement circuit and creates additional AC and DC voltage as it flows through the DUT and/or lead resistance.

For both types of systems, noise values can be of a similar magnitude. A typical value is 50pA DC with 80fA/√Hz noise for the reversible current source/nanovoltmeter combination. For a lock-in amplifier, it would be around 50pA DC with 180fA/√Hz noise. While the 50pA DC does not interfere with the AC measurements, it does add power to the DUT and must be counted in the total power applied to the DUT by the measurement system. This presents a much smaller problem for the DC reversal measurement system, because a programmable current source can easily be made to add a DC component to the sourced current to cancel out the DC current emanating from the nanovoltmeter. The lock-in amplifier does not have this capability.

The second limitation in measuring higher DUT resistances is the input impedance of the voltage measuring circuit, which causes loading errors. Consider the measurement of a DUT with 10MΩ of resistance. A typical lock-in amplifier has an input impedance of about the same magnitude—10MΩ. This means that half of the current intended for the DUT will instead flow through the instrument input, and the measured voltage will be in error by 50%. Even with careful subtraction schemes, it is not practical to achieve ±1% accuracy when measuring a DUT with a resistance greater than 1MΩ when using a lock-in amplifier.

By contrast, a nanovoltmeter has 1000 times higher input impedance (i.e., 10GΩ), so it can measure up to 1GΩ with ±1% accuracy. (Subtracting the loading effect of the 10GΩ only requires knowing the input resistance to ±10% accuracy, which is readily measured by performing the DC reversal measurement using an open circuit as the “DUT.”) Moreover, some current sources provides a guard amplifier, so the nanovoltmeter can measure the guard voltage instead of the DUT voltage directly (Figure 6). This reduces the current noise transmitted to the DUT down to the noise of the current source (below 20fA/√Hz). This configuration reduces the loading error, noise, and power in situations where the lead resistance is negligible and a two-wire connection to the DUT is acceptable.

**Mid-range Resistance Measurements**

Traditionally, lock-in amplifiers have been used for measurements in the range of 100mΩ to 1MΩ due to the significant limitations outside this range. Even when R_{DUT} falls in this range, using the DC reversal method with newer instruments may provide an advantage. For example, a lock-in amplifier has two times (or higher) white noise than a modern DC reversal system, and its 1/f voltage noise is ten times higher. (See Figure 7.) For example, when working at 13Hz (a typical frequency in lock-in measurements),
Figure 6. Test arrangement for a two-wire DC reversal measurement using a current source with a guard buffer circuit. (Guarded source connections provide a way around the problems associated with the low input impedance of a measurement circuit.)

Figure 7. Noise comparison of a typical lock-in amplifier and DC reversal measurement system. (See endnote on instruments used for comparisons.)
a typical DC reversal system has about seven times lower voltage noise than a lock-in amplifier. This leads to 50 times less required power.

**Individual Instrument Noise Comparisons**

All electronic circuits generate both white noise and 1/f noise. The noise of low frequency measurements are often dominated by the latter. A lock-in amplifier’s front end is usually the dominant source of 1/f noise. Instruments used in the DC reversal method have similar issues. Therefore, comparing the noise performance of a lock-in amplifier with an instrument using the DC reversal method is essentially a case of comparing the noise performance of their front-end circuitry. Furthermore, the DUT resistance value must be considered when making these comparisons.

It is common for manufacturers to specify their white noise performance, but less common to be given a 1/f noise specification. To make a valid comparison, like the one in Figure 7, the noise level should be determined as measurements are made. Another important consideration is whether to use the system voltage noise or current noise. Figure 8 shows a model of a measurement system with $V_n$ being the voltage noise of the system, $I_n$ being the current noise, and $I_s$ being the source current.

![Figure 8. Idealized measurement circuit with current and voltage noise sources, $I_n$ and $V_n$.](image)

A signal-to-noise ratio of one (one possible measurement objective) is achieved when the power forced on the DUT equals the noise power of the system. This is expressed by:

$$P_{\text{DUT}} = P_s \cdot R_{\text{DUT}} = V_n^2 / R_{\text{DUT}} + P_n \cdot R_{\text{DUT}}$$

This equation describes the V-shaped curves in Figure 9. Voltage noise dominates when the DUT resistance is low, and current noise dominates when the DUT resistance is high. The required power is minimum when $R_{\text{DUT}}$ equals $V_n/I_n$. Ultimately, a major determinant of instrument performance is how little power can be imposed on the DUT and still get a good measurement. Nevertheless, it is important to remember that very low and very high values of $R_{\text{DUT}}$ impose different types of instrument limitations on these measurements compared to midrange values.
Noise, Applied Power, and Measurement Time Considerations

To put noise error into perspective, consider measurements with a desired signal-to-noise ratio of 100. Figure 9 shows the applied measurement power required on DUTs of various resistances in order to achieve a voltage response equal to 100 times the measurement system’s RMS noise for a one-half second measurement time. The curves shown are for measurement setups using a lock-in amplifier and a DC reversal system. For the lock-in amplifier, it illustrates the relatively small range of measurable resistances and the need for greater applied power to overcome the higher noise levels. The noise of the DUT is shown separately, because it is dependent on temperature. However, the Johnson noise power for room temperature resistances is represented by the lower horizontal dashed line in Figure 9.

It can be shown that noise power \( V_{\text{Johnson}}^2/R \) in the DUT measurement is a function of temperature and is not dependent on its resistance. Measuring a DUT with 1% RMS noise requires a signal voltage 100 times the noise voltage, thus a signal power 10,000 \((100^2)\) times the noise power, as shown by the upper dashed line in Figure 9. (See endnote for a description of the instruments used to collect the data.)

Depending on which is greater, the system noise or the DUT noise should be used to determine the applied power required, which in most measurements should be as low as possible. Increasing the measurement time decreases the required power by the same factor as the increase in time. For example, if time is increased by a factor of four (e.g., from \(\frac{1}{2}\) second to two seconds), then the required power decreases by a factor of four.
For the current source and nanovoltmeter combination, Figure 9 shows that the system noise is less than the Johnson noise of room temperature DUTs between 500Ω and 100MΩ. Physics present the only limitation on this DC reversal system, and low temperature measurements will benefit from the full capabilities of the system to make measurements with even less power.

**Summary**

Lock-in amplifiers are useful for many measurement applications. Still, their common mode rejection ratio and low input impedance limits low power resistance measurements to a range of about 100mΩ to 1MΩ. Typically, they are employed with user constructed current sources, which are difficult to control with variable loads, resulting in poor source accuracy. Results are obtained as current and voltage readings, requiring the researcher to calculate resistance.

With modern current sources and nanovoltmeters, the DC reversal method requires less power while providing excellent low-noise results. This combination is optimal for low frequencies (0.1–24Hz), allowing measurements to be made much faster than with a lock-in amplifier. At resistances less than 100mΩ, they have much better rejection of lead resistances, and, at resistances greater than 1MΩ, they have much higher input impedance and less associated loading error.

The greatest advantage comes from current sources and nanovoltmeters that have been designed to work together in Delta Mode and provide resistance values read directly from the instrument display. These instruments are connected by a communication path that synchronizes them, allowing current reversal frequencies up to about 24Hz. Working as a system, they effectively cancel thermoelectric offsets that drift over time and avoid errors associated with common mode rejection problems that are prevalent in low impedance measurements. By following good test practices, these instruments provide excellent measurement accuracy from 10nΩ to 1GΩ. The measurement noise level for such a system is about 3nV/√Hz at 5Hz and higher frequencies.

**Endnote**

In Figures 7 and 9, a lock-in amplifier similar to the SR-830 was used to collect data for comparison with the DC reversal method, the latter using a combination of the Keithley Model 6221 AC and DC Current Source and Model 2182A Nanovoltmeter.
Achieving Accurate and Reliable Resistance Measurements in Low Power and Low Voltage Applications

Low voltage measurements are often associated with resistance measurements of highly conductive semiconductor materials and devices. These tests normally involve sourcing a known current, measuring the resulting voltage, and calculating the resistance using Ohm’s Law. Because of the DUT’s typically low resistance, the resulting voltage will be very small and great care needs to be taken to reduce offset voltage and noise, which can normally be ignored when measuring higher signal levels.

However, low voltage measurements can also result from resistance measurements of non-conductive materials and components. Electronics are continuing to shrink as consumers demand faster, more feature-rich products in ever-smaller form factors. Because of their small sizes, electronic components of today usually have limited power handling capability. As a result, when electrically characterizing these components, the test signals need to be kept small to prevent component breakdown or other damage.

In resistance measurements, even if the resistance is far from zero, the voltage to be measured is often very small due to the need to source only a small current. Therefore, low level voltage measurement techniques become important, not only for low resistance measurements, but also for resistance measurements of non-conductive materials and components. For researchers and electronics industry test engineers, this power limitation often makes characterizing the resistance of modern devices and materials challenging.

There are many factors that make low voltage measurements difficult. Various noise sources can make it difficult to resolve the actual voltage. In addition, thermoelectric voltages (thermoelectric EMFs) can cause error offsets and drift in the voltage readings. As mentioned previously, test requirements may limit the maximum current that can be applied, so simply increasing the sourced signal (test current) isn’t always an option. In other cases, increasing the test current could cause device heating, which can change the DUT’s resistance and possibly destroy the DUT. The key to obtaining accurate, consistent measurements is eliminating factors that contribute to measurement error. For low voltage measurement applications, such error is composed largely of white noise (random noise across all frequencies) and 1/f noise. Thermoelectric voltages (typically having 1/f distribution), a serious problem in many test environments, are generated from temperature differences in the circuit.

This discussion reviews techniques to eliminate thermoelectric voltages to allow more accurate resistance measurements, including a three-step delta measurement method for low power/low voltage applications.
Measurement Obstacles

Temperature fluctuations are the biggest enemy of low voltage measurements. Any junction of dissimilar metals in a measurement circuit constitutes a thermocouple. Voltage errors occur when there is an opposing junction at a different temperature. Figure 1 illustrates one example of this error.

In this example, the device under test is located on a silicon wafer. A tungsten probe makes contact with one terminal of the device. The other terminal is the silicon substrate. A copper base is used to make electrical contact with the substrate. The junctions of differing materials produce three separate thermocouples: at the copper-tungsten interface, at the tungsten-silicon interface, and at the silicon-copper interface. The temperature difference between the two materials at each junction generates a voltage at the voltmeter terminals. The summation of the thermoelectric voltages at each of these junctions is the total error voltage that appears at the voltmeter terminals.

The first step toward reducing measurement error is minimizing the temperature variation in the test environment. This would mean reducing the temperature difference between T₁, T₂, and T₃ in Figure 1. The test setup should be isolated from drafts, air conditioning, and heat sources. The connections should be located as close to each other as possible to minimize temperature differences. Whenever possible, the designer of the setup should use connections made of the same material and select insulators with high thermal conductivity to surround the cables and junctions.

Traditional Resistance Measurements

No matter what steps are taken to minimize temperature problems, it’s virtually impossible to eliminate them entirely. A standard DC resistance measurement approach doesn’t compensate for any of these errors. Resistance is calculated using Ohm’s Law; that is, to find the resistance, divide the DC voltage measured across the device by
the DC stimulus current (see Figure 2a). The voltage readings will be a sum of the induced voltage across the device \( (V_R) \), lead and contact resistance \( (V_{lead \, res}) \), the voltages present from the thermals \( (V_t) \), other \( 1/f \) noise contributions \( (V_{1/f \, noise}) \), and white noise \( (V_{white \, noise}) \). To eliminate lead resistance, use four separate leads to connect the voltmeter and current source to the device. In this way, the voltmeter won’t measure any voltage drop across the source leads. However, the errors due to white noise, \( 1/f \) noise, and temperature differences will remain (see Figure 2b). Implementing filtering and selecting the appropriate test equipment may reduce white noise and \( 1/f \) noise significantly. However, these elements often determine the measurement noise floor.

Temperature presents a slightly different challenge because if the temperature changes, the contribution of the \( V_t \) term changes, too. With rapidly changing thermoelectric voltages, this term may even exceed \( V_R \), the voltage across the DUT induced by the stimulus. It’s possible to reduce thermoelectric voltages using techniques such as all-copper circuit construction, thermal isolation, precise temperature control, and frequent contact cleaning. However, it would be preferable to have a method that would allow accurate resistance measurements even in the presence of large thermoelectric voltages, instead of working to minimize them.

**The Delta Method of Measuring Resistance**

A change in test method is required to improve accuracy and overcome measurement obstacles. A constant thermoelectric voltage may be canceled using voltage measurements made at a positive test current and a negative test current. This is called a delta reading. Alternating the test current also increases white noise immunity by increasing the signal-to-noise ratio.\(^1\) A similar technique can be used to compensate for changing

---

thermoelectric voltages (see Figure 3). Over the short term, thermoelectric drift may be approximated as a linear function (see inset of Figure 3). The difference between consecutive voltage readings is the slope—the rate of change in thermoelectric voltage. This slope is constant, so it may be canceled by alternating the current source three times to make two delta measurements—one at a negative-going step and one at a positive-going step. In order for the linear approximation to be valid, the current source must alternate quickly and the voltmeter must make accurate voltage measurements within a short time interval. If these conditions are met, the three-step delta technique yields an accurate voltage reading of the intended signal unimpeded by thermoelectric offsets and drifts.

Examining this technique in detail reveals how it reduces measurement error. An analysis of the mathematics for one three-step delta cycle will demonstrate how the technique compensates for the temperature differences in the circuit. Consider the example in Figure 4a:

Test current = ±10nA
Device = 100Ω resistor

Ignoring thermoelectric voltage errors, the voltages measured at each of the steps are:

\[ V_1 = 1\mu V \]
\[ V_2 = -1\mu V \]
\[ V_3 = 1\mu V \]

Let's assume the temperature is linearly increasing over the short term in such a way that it produces a voltage profile like that shown in Figure 4b, where \( V_t = 100nV \) and is climbing 100nV with each successive reading.

![Thermal Voltage Plot](image-url)
As Figure 4b shows, the voltages now measured by the voltmeter include error due to the increasing thermoelectric voltage in the circuit; therefore, they are no longer of equal magnitude. However, the absolute difference between the measurements is in error by a constant 100nV, so it’s possible to cancel this term. The first step is to calculate the delta voltages. The first delta voltage \( V_a \) is equal to:

\[
V_a = (V_1 - V_2) = 0.95 \mu V
\]
The second delta voltage ($V_b$) is made at the positive-going current step and is equal to:

$$V_b = \text{positive-going step} = \frac{(V_3 - V_2)}{2} = 1.05\mu V$$

The thermoelectric voltage adds a negative error term in $V_a$ and a positive error term in the calculation of $V_b$. When the thermal drift is a linear function, these error terms are equal in magnitude. Thus, we can cancel the error by taking the average of $V_a$ and $V_b$:

$$V_f = \text{final voltage reading} = \frac{(V_a + V_b)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(V_1 - V_2)}{(2)} + \frac{(V_3 - V_2)}{(2)} \right] = 100\mu V$$

The delta technique eliminates the error due to changing thermoelectric voltages. Therefore, the voltmeter measurement is the voltage induced by the stimulus current alone. As the test continues, every reading is the average of the three most recent A/D conversions, so a moving average filter is embedded in this three-step delta technique. The moving average filter further enhances white noise immunity by reducing the spread of the data. The three-step delta method clearly offers significant advantages over other DC resistance measurement techniques in overcoming error due to changing temperature. **Figure 6** provides a more detailed examination of the three-step delta technique.

Other DC resistance measurement techniques include a two-step current reversal and offset compensation, a subset of the three-step method. The two-step method calculates an average based on only the first delta ($V_a$) of the three-step method. Offset compensation is really a subset of the three-step delta method where the current is alternated between some positive value and zero. The offset compensation method is commonly found in digital multimeters where the test current can't be programmed or reversed. Although this two-point technique sufficiently compensates for constant thermoelectric error voltages, it's inadequate when the temperature is changing.

The three-step delta technique is the best choice for high accuracy resistance measurements. **Figure 5** compares 1000 voltage measurements of a 100Ω resistor made with a 10nA test current taken over approximately 100 seconds. In this example, the rate of change in thermoelectric voltage is no more than 7µV/second. The two-step delta technique fluctuates with the thermoelectric error voltage ±30Ω around the true resistance value. Thus, for any one measurement, there could be an error of up to 30%, which doesn't provide much confidence in the measurement's integrity. In contrast, the three-step delta technique is “tightly packed” around the average—the measurement is unaffected by the thermoelectric variations in the test circuit. It’s important to note that both these measurements can be completed in the same test time. In addition, the
speed of the three-step delta method permits additional digital averaging of the data, so it has lower noise than data taken with the two-step delta technique.

### Equipment Requirements

Selecting appropriate measurement equipment is critical to the three-step delta method. Keithley has designed the Models 6220 and 6221 Current Sources and the Model 2182A Nanovoltmeter to perform resistance measurements using the three-step delta technique. Pairing either of the current sources with the nanovoltmeter creates a user-friendly solution that can be operated like a single instrument and that meets the accuracy and repeatability requirements of low power and low voltage applications. By understanding how the equipment affects the measurement, the researcher or test engineer can also minimize white noise and 1/f noise.

The success of the three-step delta method depends on the linear approximation of the thermal drift when this drift is viewed over a short time interval. This approximation requires the measurement cycle time to be faster than the thermal time constant of the test system, which imposes certain requirements on the current source and voltmeter used.

The current source must alternate quickly in evenly spaced steps, which helps make a fast measurement cycle time possible. The current step spacing guarantees the meas-
Detailed Three-Step Delta Calculations

\[ \begin{align*}
V_a &= \text{negative-going step} \\
&= \frac{(V_1 + V_t) - (V_2 + V_t + dV_t)}{2} = \frac{(V_1 - V_2 - dV_t)}{2} \\
&= \frac{(V_1 - V_2)}{2} - \frac{dV_t}{2}
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
V &= \text{positive-going step} \\
&= \frac{(V_3 + V_t + 2dV_t) - (V_2 + V_t + dV_t)}{2} = \frac{(V_3 - V_2 + dV_t)}{2} \\
&= \frac{(V_3 - V_2)}{2} + \frac{dV_t}{2}
\end{align*} \]

\[ V_f = \text{final voltage reading} = \text{average} (V_a, V_b) \]

\[ = \frac{(V_a + V_b)}{2} = \frac{(V_1 + V_3 - 2V_2)}{4} \]

For linear devices, \( |V_1| = |V_2| = |V_3| = V_R = \text{voltage across resistor induced by stimulus current} \).

Thus: \( V_1 = \frac{1}{4} (4V_R) = V_R \)

Figure 6. Detailed three-step delta calculations
urements are made at consistent intervals so the thermoelectric voltage change remains constant between these measurements.

The voltmeter must be tightly synchronized with the current source and capable of making accurate measurements in a short time interval. Synchronization favors hardware handshaking between the instruments so that the voltmeter can make voltage measurements only after the current source has settled and the current source doesn’t switch polarity until after the voltage measurement has been completed. The measurement speed of the voltmeter is critical in determining total cycle time; faster voltage measurements mean shorter cycle times. For reliable resistance measurements, the voltmeter must maintain this speed without sacrificing low noise characteristics.

The Model 6220 or 6221 Current Source and the Model 2182A Nanovoltmeter combine to return as many as 48 delta readings per second at an integration time of 1PLC (16.67ms at 60Hz power line frequency, 20ms at 50Hz power line frequency). These two instruments are coupled by means of the Keithley Trigger Link bus so the test can be run completely independent of a computer.

In low power applications, the current source must be capable of outputting low values of current so as not to exceed the maximum power rating of the device. This ability is particularly important for moderately high and high impedance devices. Models 6220 and 6221 Current Sources can output currents as small as 100fA. Pairing either of these current sources with the Model 2182A Nanovoltmeter permits accurate measurements with 1nV sensitivity.

The test current may be increased without violating the device power rating by using a pulsed current source. The Model 6221 differs from the 6220 in its ability to perform pulsed delta measurements. The Model 6221 may output pulses as short as 50µs with amplitude ranging from 100fA to 100mA.

**Conclusion**

Thermoelectric EMFs are often the dominant source of error in low resistance/low power resistance measurements. This error may be almost completely removed using a three-point current reversal technique. To implement this measurement technique, the Keithley Model 6220 or 6221 Current Source, paired with the Model 2182A Nanovoltmeter, produces faster and lower noise measurements than other resistance measurement techniques. This improvement means it’s no longer necessary to take extreme care to minimize thermally induced voltage noise in the wiring of resistance measuring systems, greatly simplifying the measurement process.

---

2 See the datasheets for the Models 6220 and 6221 Current Sources for additional differences.
Characterizing Nanoscale Devices with Differential Conductance Measurements

As modern electronics continue to shrink, researchers increasingly look to nanotechnology for breakthroughs in device size and power consumption. In these nanoscale devices, electrical characteristics are affected by quantum behavior. In the macroscopic world, conductors may have obeyed Ohm’s Law (Figure 1a), but in the nanoscale, Ohm’s definition of resistance is no longer relevant (Figure 1b). Because the slope of the I-V curve is no longer a fundamental constant of the material, a detailed measurement of the slope of that I-V curve at every point is needed to study nanodevices. This plot of differential conductance \( dG = \frac{dI}{dV} \) is the most important measurement made on small scale devices, but presents a unique set of challenges.

Who Uses Differential Conductance?

Differential conductance measurements are performed in many areas of research, though sometimes under different names. Table 1 lists some of these applications.

Table 1. Examples of research uses for differential conductance measurements and associated nomenclatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Research</th>
<th>Structures Studied</th>
<th>Measurement Nomenclature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electron Energy Structure</td>
<td>Quantum dots, nanoparticles, artificial atoms</td>
<td>Electron Energy Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-contact Surface</td>
<td>Variety of nanoscale materials and devices</td>
<td>Scanning Tunneling Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Properties</td>
<td>Ultra-small semiconductors and nanotubes with semiconducting properties</td>
<td>Density of States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical I-V</td>
<td>Conduction at room and cryogenic temperatures, tunneling phenomena, etc.</td>
<td>Differential Conductance ( dG = \frac{dI}{dV} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fundamental reason for these studies is that device conductance reaches a maximum at voltages (or more precisely, at electron energies in eV) where electrons are most
active. Thus, dI/dV is directly proportional to the density of states and is the most direct way to measure it.

**Existing Methods of Measuring Differential Conductance**

While there is no standardized technique for obtaining differential conductance, almost all approaches follow one of two methods:

1. Perform a current-voltage sweep (I-V curve) and take the mathematical derivative, or
2. Superimpose a low amplitude AC sine wave on a stepped DC bias; then use a lock-in amplifier to obtain the AC voltage across the DUT (device under test) and the AC current through it.

**I-V Technique**

The I-V sweep technique has the advantage of being easier to set up and control. It only requires one source and one measurement instrument, which makes it relatively easy to coordinate and control. The fundamental problem is that even a small amount of noise becomes a large noise when the measurements are differentiated.

Figure 2a shows an I-V curve, which is a series of sourced and measured values \((V_1, I_1), (V_2, I_2),\) etc. Several techniques can be used to differentiate this data, but the simplest and most common uses the slope between every pair of consecutive data points. For example, the first point in the differential conductance curve would be \((I_2–I_1)/(V_2–V_1)\). Because of the small differences, a small amount of noise in either the voltage or current causes a large uncertainty in the conductance. Figure 2b shows the differentiated curve and the noise, which is unacceptably large for most uses. To reduce this noise, the I-V curve and its derivative can be measured repeatedly. Noise will be reduced by \(\sqrt{N}\), where N is the number of times the curve is measured. After 100 repetitions, which can take
more than an hour in a typical application, it is possible to reduce the noise by a factor of 10, as shown in Figure 2c. While this could eventually produce a very clean data set, researchers are forced to accept high noise levels, because measuring 10,000 times to reduce the noise 100× would take far more time than is usually available. Thus, while the I-V curve technique is simple, it forces a trade-off between high noise and very long measurement times.

AC Technique

The AC technique superimposes a low amplitude AC sine wave on a stepped DC bias, as shown in Figure 3. The problem with this method is that, while it provides a marginal improvement in noise over the I-V technique, it imposes a large penalty in terms of system complexity (Figure 4). A typical equipment list includes:

- AC voltage source or function generator
- DC bias source
- Series resistor or coupling capacitor to mix AC and DC signals
- Lock-in amplifier synchronized to the sources to facilitate low-level measurements
- Separate instruments to measure AC and DC voltage and current

Assembling such a system requires extensive time and knowledge of electrical circuitry. Trial and error methods may be required to determine the series resistor and coupling capacitor values based on the unknown DUT impedance and response frequency. Long cabling, such as that used in attaching a device in a cryostat, reduces usable frequency and increases noise. In addition, multiple instruments are susceptible to problems of ground loops and common mode current.

Figure 3. The AC technique measures the response to a sine stimulus while sweeping the DC bias through the device’s operating range.

Mixing the AC and DC signals is a significant challenge. It is sometimes done with series resistors and sometimes with blocking capacitors. With either method, the cur-
rent through the DUT and the voltage across the DUT are no longer calibrated, so both the AC and DC components of the current and voltage must be measured. A lock-in amplifier may provide the AC stimulus, but frequently the required AC signal is either larger or smaller than a lock-in output can provide, so an external AC source is often required and the lock-in measurements must be synchronized to it.

The choice of frequency for the measurement is another complicating factor. It is desirable to use a frequency that is as high as possible, because a lock-in amplifier’s measurement noise decreases at higher frequencies. However, the DUT’s response frequency usually limits the usable frequency to 10–100Hz, where the lock-in amplifier’s measurement noise is five to ten times higher than its best specification. The DUT’s response frequency is determined by the device impedance and the cable capacitance, so long cabling, such as that used to attach to a device in a cryostat, reduces the usable frequency and increases noise, further reducing the intended benefit of the AC technique. Above all, the complexity of the AC method is the biggest drawback, as it requires precise coordination and computer control of six to eight instruments, and it is susceptible to problems of ground loops and common mode current noise.

Another challenge of this method is combining the AC signal and DC bias. There’s no one widely recognized product that addresses this issue. Often, many instruments are massed together in order to meet this requirement. Such instrumentation may include a lock-in amplifier, AC voltage source or function generator (if not using the reference in the lock-in amplifier), DC bias source, DC ammeter, and coupling capacitor/circuitry to combine AC source and DC bias. In many cases, what researchers are really trying to do

![Diagram of AC Technique](image)

**Figure 4:** The AC technique for obtaining differential conductance can use as many as a half dozen components, making it a far more complex setup than the I-V curve method. However, there is a reduction in the amount of noise introduced into the measurement.
is source current, so the series resistors used to combine the AC and DC must be higher impedance than the device, which is unknown until the measurement is made.

**Simple, Low-Noise Solution**

Fortunately, there is a new technique for differential conductance measurements that is easy to use and provides low-noise results. This improved method uses a four-wire, source current/measure voltage methodology. It requires a precision instrument that combines the DC and AC source components (stimulus), and a nanovoltmeter for the response measurements. These features are contained in the Keithley Models 6220 and 6221 Current Sources and 2182A Nanovoltmeter. The current sources combine the DC and AC components into one source, with no need to do a secondary measure of the current, because its output is much less dependent on the changing device impedance (Figure 5).

![Figure 5. The AC Technique (left) vs. the Keithley Technique (right)](image)

With these instruments, an AC current is superimposed on a linear staircase sweep. The amplitude of the alternating portion of the current is the differential current, dI (Figure 6a). The current source is synchronized with the nanovoltmeter by using a Trigger Link cable. After measuring the voltage at each current step, the nanovoltmeter calculates the delta voltage between consecutive steps. Each delta voltage is averaged with the previous delta voltage to calculate dV. Differential conductance, dG, is then derived from dI/dV (Figure 6b).

**Benefits of the Four-Wire, Source I/Measure V Method**

This new method provides low noise results at least 10 times faster than previous methods. Only two instruments and a single sweep are required. When user-defined currents are small, the performance of instrumentation described above cannot be duplicated by any user-assembled system in terms of source accuracy, noise, and guarded measurements (the latter being used to reduce DC leakage and improve system response time). AC current can be sourced accurately, even below 10pA. The nanovoltmeter has a sensitivity superior to lock-in amplifiers, low 1/f noise, and automatically compensates...
for offsets and drift. The four-wire connections eliminate voltage drop errors due to lead or contact resistance, because there is no current flowing through sense leads. This is important when the DUT has regions of low or moderate impedance.

Another key benefit is that more data points can be collected in areas of highest conductance (i.e., areas of greatest interest) by sourcing the sweep in equal current steps. Because of the instrumentation’s inherently low source and measurement noise, only one pass is required, shortening data collection time from hours to minutes. Furthermore, the instruments’ active guard eliminates the slowing effects of cable capacitance, greatly improving device settling time, measurement speed, and accuracy.

**Special Cases**

Some devices have non-monotonic I-V curves. This behavior is classified as follows:

1. **Current Hop** – A given voltage may correlate to more than one possible current.
2. **Negative Differential Conductance (NDC)** – A given current may correlate to more than one possible voltage.

With a slight modification, the source current/measure voltage differential conductance method can be used with devices that exhibit these behaviors.

**Current Hop**

Some devices exhibit an I-V curve where the current is a multi-valued function of voltage (Figure 7a). The negative differential conductance (NDC) region cannot be characterized by applying a voltage source, because any regulated voltage source is unstable into negative resistance loads. Instead, a voltage source would produce a hysteresis curve that never traces out the NDC region (dashed lines in Figure 7a). Interestingly, a current source is not stable over this NDC region either, but adding a series
resistor in the HI lead presents a composite device to the current source, so that it does not see any NDC region. This resistance must be at least as large as the largest negative resistance throughout the NDC region of the device.

Without any change to the 622x/2182A setup, the entire differential conductance curve can be measured because the four-wire configuration connects the nanovoltmeter directly across the device and not the series resistor, whose voltage drop is rejected along with all other lead resistance. This lead resistance, which is normally considered a problem, actually makes full characterization possible with these devices. With Keithley’s source-current architecture there is no additional measurement required. Other methods require measurements of both device current and voltage in these cases.

**Negative Differential Conductance**

If the I-V curve exhibits voltage that is a multi-valued function of current, again, neither voltage nor current sources are stable over the NDC region. To stabilize this measurement, it is necessary to add a parallel resistor. The resistance should be low enough
that the slope of its I-V curve exceeds the maximum slope of the negative resistance region of the device’s I-V curve. That is, the resistance must be smaller than the smallest negative resistance throughout the NDC region of the device. If the chosen resistor is small enough, the slope of the combined I-V response will always be monotonic. The I-V curve traced out is now the sum of both I-V curves (Figure 8b). Because we are measuring differential conductance, the conductance of the parallel resistor (1/R) can be simply subtracted from every measurement in the sweep.

**Conclusions**

With appropriate instrumentation, the four-wire source current/measure voltage method is a great improvement over older differential conductance measurements, which are slow, noisy, and complex. The new technique’s single sweep shortens hours of data collection to a few minutes while improving accuracy. Equipment cost is also reduced, since only two instruments are required, instead of six or more.
Counting Electrons: How to Measure Currents in the Attoampere Range

Nanoscale materials hold promise for areas such as medicine, homeland security, defense, and many other industries. Researchers in labs all over the globe are investigating the physical and electrical properties of nanoscale components as in single-electron transistor (SET) and quantum-dot research. This reduction in the physical size of the material under investigation creates new problems, particularly the difficulty of measuring electrical parameters such as resistance, voltage, and current accurately.

To keep pace, test and measurement instruments and techniques have had to adapt to the changing needs of researchers. Already, improvements in instrumentation make it fairly simple to measure currents of a few picoamps, and electrometers with femtoamp-level current sensitivity have been available for some time. However, measuring currents in the range of ten attoamps or less is a different matter. After all, one attoamp (1×10⁻¹⁸A) represents just six electrons per second.

Once, measuring such low currents required the use of expensive test equipment and cryogenic current comparators. Today, however, it is possible to measure changes in current as small as one attoamp (1aA) at room temperature using commercially available test and measurement equipment. This discussion looks at a simple setup and technique for making repeatable and reliable measurements at the attoamp level.

**Equipment Required**

Making current measurements on the attoamp range requires a device that can measure currents with a few tens of attoamps of RMS noise in the range of 0.1–0.01Hz and a current source with a resolution better than one attoamp. Instruments known as Source-Measure Units (SMUs) contain a precision voltage source, a precision current source, a voltmeter, and an ammeter. The Keithley Model 6430 Sub-Femtoamp Remote SourceMeter instrument has an ultra-low noise current amplifier and provides these functions in a single instrument. Figure 1 shows a typical SourceMeter instrument, with the source block representing both the voltage source and current source capability. The V\textsubscript{measure} circle represents the built-in voltmeter, which gives feedback to the source block and can be used to control it. The I\textsubscript{measure} circle represents the built-in ammeter; it, too, can control the source block. Note also that the instrument can provide both V\textsubscript{measure} and I\textsubscript{measure} functions simultaneously.

A good SMU can source very small currents, and the Model 6430 can source current with 50aA resolution. For this application (counting electrons), a source capable of repeatedly producing 10aA currents precisely is required. The voltage source within the Model 6430 was used to create a current source with this high resolution. To reduce the difficulty of working with extremely high value resistors, the voltage source was first
divided down by a factor of ten, then applied across a $2\Omega$ resistor to the current measurement input (Figure 1). The result is $10\text{aA}$ of current flowing with $200\mu\text{V}$ applied. The $5\mu\text{V}$ digital resolution of the source (programming resolution of the Model 6430) yields a $0.25\text{aA}$ current resolution. It is important to recognize that this is not a true current source—it’s output current will be very sensitive to the load. The reason why it still works for this application is that the load is going to be a nearly perfect ammeter—i.e., virtually a short circuit.

Making measurements with a few tens of attoamps of RMS noise in the bandwidth of 0.1–0.01Hz required using a digital filter with a rise time of roughly five seconds. This meant that the standard deviation of 60 seconds worth of measured data didn’t exceed $100\text{aA}$. A remote preamp on the Model 6430 reduced cable noise, giving us $30\text{aA}$ of RMS noise.

**Measurement Procedure**

The simplest way to make attoamp current measurements is to alternate between measuring a positive signal, then a negative signal of the same magnitude, and repeatedly taking the difference. This method is still applicable, even if there is no way to generate a negative signal, by taking the difference between a positive signal and zero signal, although there is a factor of two noise penalty.

Current sources in typical nanotechnology applications are functions of time rather than constants, so we chose a current source that varies with time. In this case, the current took the form of a staircase function. The procedure involves taking a fixed number of readings at each step level for a total of $N$ readings. The first of the series of

---

**Figure 1.** A source-measure unit includes a precision voltage source and a precision current source (shown here as one block), a voltmeter, and an ammeter.
readings taken with the positive source applied is averaged with the negative of the first in the series of readings taken with the negative source applied. In equation form, this is expressed as:

\[ I_n = \frac{(I_n^+ - I_n^-)}{2} \]

The division by two comes about because the signal-to-noise ratio is the same in both signals, but there is twice the signal present in the full plus-to-minus signal. For signals with no negative component, the equation is identical except there is no division by two. Doing this for each of the readings in the series yields a series of difference readings. The whole process is repeated several times and averaged. Each difference series is averaged point-by-point, resulting in a single series of readings representing the current shape produced by the source.

**Setting Up the Measurement**

Choosing how fast to alternate between positive and negative sourcing requires some balancing. Faster alternations help minimize the effects of slow drifts in input current and also reduce noise. But, with teraohms of resistance in the source (which is typical for any source generating such small currents), the settling time is one to two seconds. If the source alternated every few seconds, most of the measurement would be of the source settling rather than the final DC value. One way to solve this is to let the source settle for ten percent of the time after each alternation and measure for 90 percent of the time—which means a half-period of ten times the settling time, or about 20 seconds.

Using this mathematical technique, the valid points from the current shape (i.e., the last 90 percent) were averaged to produce the final measurement. Estimating the error in this mean value required using the statistics of uncorrelated noise. Instead of one final current shape averaged from many difference series, N current shapes were generated. Each of these current shapes yields a mean from its valid data points so the collection of mean values has a standard deviation. Because the means are uncorrelated, the final answer, the mean of the N means, has a one sigma uncertainty equal to the standard deviation of the collection of means divided by the square root of N.
\[ \sigma^{-}_x = \frac{\sigma_x}{\sqrt{N}} \]

This was verified by generating 1,000 current shapes and plotting the standard deviations of the collections for \( N = 1000, 500, 250, \) etc. As expected, the standard deviations of the collections dropped as the square root of the number of means in the collection.

**Results**

For the simplest case, using a single current value and using 40 seconds for each source polarity, after one hour of measurement or 45 reversal pairs, the uncertainty was about 2.6 attoamps. After 12 hours of averaging, the uncertainty fell to about 0.75 attoamps. After several such 12-hour runs, the collection of results had a standard deviation consistent with 0.75 attoamps.

A good way to estimate the time required to achieve these results on an arbitrary system with unknown external noise sources is to measure the noise of the system in the 0.1–0.01Hz bandwidth as described earlier. The test system, with the source on but constant, measured 60aA RMS in this bandwidth. If another system had 120aA, it is reasonable to expect that each of the uncertainties achieved here could be done in approximately four times the amount of time.

**Physical Precautions**

The largest contributor to low-frequency noise in this sort of test setup is temperature variation. Instrument offset currents vary with ambient temperature, in this case by less than 500aA/°C. But even slow temperature changes from a building’s heating and cooling systems could cause large current changes. One way of avoiding this problem is to simply place a cardboard box over the low current amplifier and source fixture. Doing so nearly eliminates the problem. It is critically important that the low current amplifier have low power dissipation (in this case <1/3 watt) to avoid heat buildup in the enclosure.

Another concern is stray electrostatic fields. Housing the current-generating element (in this case the source’s resistors) in an electrostatic shield that is grounded for safety eliminates this problem. It’s also possible to improve the settling time of the source by placing the current-generating element inside an inner electrostatic shield driven by the guard buffer.

**Time-Varying Input**

Using a slow staircase input instead of a simple DC signal shows that a discernable signal can be retrieved from the noise. After every 90 measurements (about 18 seconds), the current source was incremented by 10aA. Inverting the staircase generated the negative source period. The results are shown in Figure 3.
Considering each step as a separate measurement and using the estimates described earlier, the mean of each current step has a one-sigma uncertainty of 0.8 attoamps (Figure 4). The measurement took 84 hours, only slightly longer than the equivalent of five 12-hour runs for five separate measurements. The extra time required to reduce the noise is expected. The longer alternation period allows offsets to drift more between the positive and negative measurements, so the residual noise is larger. This can also be described by noting that offset drift due to temperature change typically has a 1/f characteristic. That is, noise current is inversely proportional to frequency (Figure 5). So, while the current reversal process still narrows the bandwidth to 1/(12 hours)
(0.00002Hz), the lower alternation frequency of the staircase places the measurement higher on the 1/f curve.

**Conclusions**

Using the data analyses and filtering described here, a wide range of tradeoffs between measurement time and uncertainty can be obtained. In addition, if proper attention is given to relevant noise sources such as temperature and cabling, current measurements can be made well below the one femtoamp level. In fact, using commercially available test equipment, measurements with uncertainties of one attoampere and below are possible and indeed practical.
A Feel for the Pulse

*Understanding your test requirements will help you select the right pulse generator for the job.*

Rapidly changing and advancing technology continually challenges test equipment manufacturers to develop new systems for testing the latest generation of electronic devices and materials. Industries such as semiconductor and communication technology, with rapid development of new standards, often require cutting-edge device testing and new source and measurement capabilities.

In recent years, new testing techniques have been developed to meet these challenges. One such technique is pulse testing. The uses for instruments with pulse capabilities are many, for instance, testing advanced semiconductor devices as well as RF devices such as high-speed serial communications links.

**Pulse Testing**

Pulse testing involves delivering a single pulse to an output. This pulse is used to test a variety of things, such as for transient testing of a device to determine its transfer function and thereby characterize the material under test.

Pulse or pattern generators (*Figure 1*) are used in a wide variety of applications in both the lab and on the production line. Researchers often need to stimulate a device under test (DUT) with a pulse, series of pulses (*Figure 2a*), or known data patterns at specified rates in order to characterize device performance. Pulse or pattern generators are often configured into test systems that also include SMUs, digital multimeters, voltmeters, switches, and oscilloscopes (*Figure 2b*).

*Figure 1. Keithley’s Series 3400 Pulse/Pattern Generators feature a frequency range from 1mHz to 165MHz with programmable rise and fall times down to two nanoseconds.*
An example of an application where a pulse/pattern generator is an important tool is in the testing of program/erase cycles in memory devices (Figure 3). Two of the tests performed for this type of application are endurance and speed tests. For these types of test, a pulse should be applied at one polarity to write to the device, and a pulse should be applied at the opposite polarity with a larger amplitude to erase memory. For endurance tests, program/erase actions must be cycled as quickly as possible while performing DC threshold ($V_t$) tests on the transistors. For speed tests, the pulse width and/or amplitude are varied until the optimal threshold voltages for the program and erase functions are found. During this test, a DC $V_t$ test must be performed on the transistors between every program or erase pulse.

**Need for Pulse Testing**

The need for pulse sources has been growing over time. Shrinking device geometries, new materials, and more complex designs are having a tremendous impact on device lifetimes due to increased fragility, higher power density, and new failure mechanisms. This need is driven in part by the higher operating speeds of today’s electronic circuits. The higher operating speed requires test equipment that can produce simulated clock and data signals at the rate that the circuit will actually perform (Figure 4).

Also, analog components used in these circuits behave differently at higher speeds, so they can’t be characterized at DC using traditional DC methods. Because pulse sizes can be made extremely small, on the order of a few nanoseconds, pulse testing overcomes the problems inherent in DC testing techniques. Therefore, pulsed test signals are needed to characterize these components.

In addition, as components have become smaller, the need for pulsed testing techniques becomes more critical. Smaller DUTs are more susceptible to self-heating, which can destroy or damage the part or change its response to test signals, masking the
Figure 3. Example application: Program/erase cycles on memory devices.

Figure 4. Pulse/pattern generators must provide simulated clock and data signals at the rate the circuit will actually perform.
response the user is seeking. Pulse testing is commonly used when characterizing nano-electronic devices.

Advanced IC technologies incorporate new materials and failure mechanisms that traditional DC testing techniques may not be powerful enough to uncover. The limits of DC methods are apparent in the charge-trapping behavior of gate dielectrics in semiconductor devices. The issue is the relatively long periods of time required for these DC techniques.

During device development, structures like single electron transistors (SETs), sensors, and other experimental devices often display unique properties. Characterizing these properties without damaging one-of-a-kind structures requires systems that provide tight control over sourcing to prevent device self-heating.

Voltage pulsing can produce much narrower pulse widths than current pulsing, so it’s often used in experiments such as thermal transport, in which the timeframe of interest is shorter than a few hundred nanoseconds. Figure 5 illustrates connecting to a device to measure an unknown resistance.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5. Measuring unknown resistance, pulsed I-V, etc.**

High amplitude accuracy and programmable rise and fall times are necessary to control the amount of energy delivered to a device. An example is shown in Figure 6.

**What to Look For**

The three key items to keep in mind while evaluating a pulse/pattern generator are flexibility, fidelity, and ease of use.

Flexibility is key to a good pulse generator. It lets users control the critical signal parameters such as amplitude, offset, rise and fall times, pulse widths, and duty cycle of the output signal. Interdependency of these parameters can reduce the flexibility of the instrument. It is important to understand that if you adjust one parameter, that another parameter does not change. For example if you adjust the rise-time of the pulse, does
the pulse amplitude change? This extensive control over key signal parameters makes the instrument flexible and useable in many different applications.

The second key item to look for is pulse fidelity. The amount of overshoot, or droop in a pulse can make the instrument not suitable for your application. These undesirable effects can be worsened by the setup and cabling that your application requires. Using an instrument that minimizes these effects will help reduce these setup challenges. Instruments that can deliver an extremely short duration pulse, on the order of a few nanoseconds wide, with tight control of critical signal parameters, are highly useful for testing sensitive devices. Also, look carefully at the specifications of the unit. Often times parameters such as rise-time or fall-time are specified at either 10% to 90% or 20% to 80%. Using 20%—80% allows a slower pulse to appear to have a faster rise-time. Additionally, when using the looser specification, the actual fidelity of the pulse could be significantly lower.

Ease of use is another factor to consider, which often times gets overlooked. For example, an intuitive user interface makes instruments simple to use for both experienced test engineers as well as novice users of instrumentation.

**Conclusion**

Facilities involved in testing semiconductor devices, nanotechnology devices, and high speed components are faced with intense budget and time-to-market constraints.
However, they cannot compromise on measurement quality, valuable rack or bench-top space, or ease of use. These designers have a need for test instruments such as pulse generators that satisfy their needs for current as well as future testing.
Electronic Transport Characteristics of Gallium Nitride Nanowire-based Nanocircuits

Electronic transport studies of a two-phase gallium nitride nanowire were explored. The steps taken are briefly described here and discussed in detail below.

Current-voltage measurements were taken of gallium nitride-based three-terminal field effect transistors fabricated via electron beam lithography. The measurements indicated a working field effect transistor using a global back gate configuration. Very high current levels within the nanowire were reported. Direct transport measurements were also taken via two nanomanipulator probes. High current levels in this experiment were also observed. Scanning Probe Recognition Microscopy was used to detect the contact pad and nanowire radial boundary, and a nanowire auto-focus experiment was reported.

Introduction

Over the past decade nanowires and nanotubes made from a wide variety of materials have demonstrated extraordinary electronic, mechanical, and chemical characteristics. Gallium nitride (GaN) nanowires are particularly promising due to an inherently wide bandgap coupled with structurally induced electronic and optical confinement [1]. Gallium nitride-based nanocircuits have recently been shown to be viable for a wide range of electronic and optical applications. GaN nanowire field effect transistors [2, 3, 4] and logic devices [5] have shown the desired characteristics of high transconductance and good switching, and room temperature UV lasing has been reported for GaN nanowire systems [6, 7] as well as good field emission properties [8].

Understanding the interactions of gallium nitride nanowires within a nanocircuit architecture is critically important to the maximizing the potential of the GaN nanowire building block. In particular, details of the electronic transport and carrier injection require fundamental elucidation. This discussion will present details of an investigation into electronic transport and carrier injection.

Materials and Methods

The ~50–100nm gallium nitride nanowires were grown in a direct reaction of metal gallium vapor with flowing ammonia at 850–900°C without a catalyst, as reported in Reference [9]. These had a two-phase coaxial zinc-blende/wurtzite structure, shown in Figure 1 and reported in Reference [10]. A field effect transistor design using a GaN nanowire as an n-type semiconducting channel was used in the experiment (GaNFET). The nanowires were dispersed on a highly doped p-type silicon substrate covered with a 150nm dielectric layer of thermally grown silicon dioxide. The GaNFET source and drain contacts were patterned using electron beam lithography, with Ti/Au used for the
conducting source and drain material. The backside of the wafer was stripped of silicon dioxide using hydrofluoric acid, and Ti/Au was evaporated to form the global back gate.

Electronic transport characteristics were measured in two-point and four-point probe configurations using a Keithley 4200-SCS ultra low noise electronic characterization system and a Keithley-Zyvex KZ100 nanoprobing system, in which specially sharpened ~30nm radius tungsten nanoprobes were coupled with the 4200-SCS and experiments were performed under direct SEM observation.

These experiments were carried out at the corporate laboratories of Keithley Instruments (Cleveland Ohio) and Zyvex Corporation (Richardson Texas). Carrier injection was investigated using Scanning Probe Recognition Microscopy, a new scanning probe microscope modality under development by our group in partnership with Veeco Instruments, Santa Barbara, CA. [11]

**Nanocircuit Electronic Transport Measurements**

Current-voltage measurements were taken at Keithley Labs on a Keithley 4200-SCS which offers very low noise and low current measurements. The Keithley 4200-SCS is uniquely suited for this application because high levels of noise can arise while analyzing the GaNFETs. Measurements indicate this FET has a good on-off ratio and is capable of handling high current. Currents measured in these devices approached 30µA, which is similar to findings from other groups [12, 13], is very high considering the nanowire dimensions. The current density can thus be approximated as ~2.4mA · µm⁻². Although the nanowire is capable of very high current densities, the gate voltages needed to achieve this are somewhat above accepted levels. A gate voltage step of −30V to 30V was needed to clearly show current change based on gate voltage modulation. These gate
levels, however, are not feasible in most devices. Other discrepancies include unpredictability of the device at negative drain-source voltages, where the gate-source voltage variation does not seem to affect the drain current as much. The reason for this anomaly is unknown at this time, but is being investigated further.

**Nanowire Electronic Transport Measurements**

Further two-point and point-to-point probe measurements were performed in the Keithley-Zyvex KZ100 nanoprobing system under direct SEM observation. The nanoprobe arrangement for the four-point measurements is shown in Figure 3(a). Placement of a probe tip resulted in the nanowire break. The probes labeled 2 and 3 were lifted out of contact with the nanowire and the probe labeled 1 was placed in direct contact with the cleaved open end as shown in Figure 3(b). The probe labeled 4 remained on the gold contact pad as shown in Figure 3(a).

The current density to breakdown was then investigated. The results confirmed the high current capacity previously discussed. In a typical example, 10µA of current was achieved, as shown in Figure 3(c). Electrical breakdown with pull apart in the middle of the nanowire occurred at greater than 50µA. The gold contact pad near probe 4 did not display any sign of local heating.

**Scanning Probe Recognition Microscopy of Nanocircuits**

Scanning Probe Recognition Microscopy (SPRM) is a new scanning probe microscope modality under development in partnership with Veeco Instruments, Santa Bar-
In SPRM, we give the Scanning Probe Microscope (SPM) system itself the power to return to a specific nanoscale feature of interest through feature recognition coupled with adaptive scan plan generation and implementation. It is a recognition-driven and learning approach, made possible through combining Scanning Probe Microscope piezoelectric implementation with on-line image processing and dynamically adaptive learning algorithms. The human operator interaction is now focused on the decision-making level, rather than the execution level.

SPRM has been implemented in the main atomic force and scanning tunneling modes. The SPRM experiments are performed on a specially adapted Multimode Nanoscope IIIA (Veeco Instruments) in ambient air. For the nanocircuit investigation, several aspects of the GaNFETs are currently under investigation, which require the ability to auto-focus the scan path to proceed from the conducting contact pad onto the semi-conducting GaN nanowire, while avoiding the insulating oxide layer.

Our implementation, shown in Figure 4, uses real-time captured information to detect the contact pad (user defined region), the contact pad-nanowire linear junction, and the nanowire radial boundary. Our current implementation also has an adaptive learning capability with statistical methods that can be used in boundary detection adjustment to improve accuracy.

The resulting nanowire auto-focus using SPRM is shown in Figure 4. The image captured by the SPM is shown in Figure 4 (a) (dotted lines are used to artificially enhance
the low relief contact pad boundaries). The scan plan generation, shown in Figure 4 (b), shows that our scanning scheme can detect and predict boundaries reliably. Thus, we can scan back and forth only on the interested region, which starts from the left side (light points) and ends with right side (black points) in Figure 4 (b). We can see that the scanning scheme can follow changes of boundaries very well because of the adaptive learning algorithm. The captured real-time auto-focused image is shown in Figure 4 (c). This is a still image from an .mpg movie clip of the top to bottom auto-focused scan that starts from a small region on the contact pad and proceeds along the nanowire. The regions that are not actually scanned are padded with 0 (dark gray region) for display.

**Discussion**

Gallium nitride field effect transistors reported here may be a viable solution in many electronic devices. The high current density that these nanowires can achieve may be desirable in high current, high power applications. The device itself, however, needs to be improved so as to lower gate-source voltage levels thus making this device design more practical. Optical applications are also being realized and the biphasic nature of these nanowires may provide an optical confinement element similar to optical fibers. The possibility of carrier and exciton confinement in these nanowires may provide a wide variety of electronic device applications.
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SECTION V
Nanodevice Measurement Techniques
Tips for Electrical Characterization of Carbon Nanotubes and Low Power Nanoscale Devices

The potential uses for carbon nanotubes are seemingly endless, with plenty of potential applications in the semiconductor industry alone. Researchers are already incorporating carbon nanotubes into FETs for switches, memory for consumer goods, and field emission displays for the next generation of televisions. Researchers are also looking into applying carbon nanotubes in sensor applications to detect molecular particles for applications in homeland security. There is also serious work being done to use carbon nanotubes transistors for digital logic.

The semiconductor and nanotechnology communities continue to be faced with challenges when working with carbon nanotubes and other low power nanoscale devices. One challenge is the difficulty of electrically characterizing extremely small circuit elements, not only in the current generation of semiconductors, but in next-generation nanoscale electronics as well.

A second challenge is how to characterize these next generation devices when power limitation is critical. The scaling of devices and components to the nano scale forces researchers to limit the levels of electrical signals that can be applied for characterization.

Lastly, probing nanoscale devices continues to be a challenge. With standard gate dimensions of less than 90nm and space budgets shrinking continuously, the smallest probe pad dimensions required for most prober systems remain fixed at about 50 microns. This limitation is largely the result of the inaccuracy of probe movements and the size of the probe tips. This challenge is being solved with new probing tools that offer nanometer movement precision with probe tip diameters of less than 50nm and current measuring capability better than 1pA (see Figure 1).

This discussion focuses on measurement techniques that can be applied to characterizing carbon nanotubes low power devices, and what can be done to overcome various sources of measurement error.

Methods and Techniques

Consumers are demanding faster, more feature-rich products in ever-smaller form factors. Because the electronics must have smaller sizes, the components will also have limited power handling capability. As a result, when electrically characterizing these components, the test signals need to be kept small to prevent component breakdown or other damage. Current versus Voltage (I-V) characterization on nanoscale devices may require the measurement of very small voltages due to the necessity of applying a very small current to control power or to reduce the Joule-heating effects. Therefore, low level voltage measurement techniques become important, not only for I-V characterization of devices but also for resistance measurements of non-conductive materials.
and components. For researchers and electronics industry test engineers, this power limitation makes characterizing modern devices and materials and future devices challenging.

Unlike I-V curve generation on macro- and micro-scale components and materials, measurements on carbon nanotubes and nanoscale devices require such special care and techniques. General-purpose I-V curve characterizations are often performed using a two-point electrical measurement technique. The problem with this method is that the voltage is measured not only across the device in question, but includes the voltage drop across the test leads and contacts as well. If your goal is to measure the resistance
of a device using a typical ohmmeter to measure resistances greater than a few ohms, this added resistance is usually not a problem. However, when measuring low resistances on conductive nanoscale materials or components, obtaining accurate results with a two-point measurement can be a problem.

If your I-V characterization or resistance measurement involves low voltage or low resistance, such as with molecular wires, semiconducting nanowires, and carbon nanotubes, a four-wire, or “Kelvin,” measurement technique with a probe station is preferred and will yield more accurate results. With Kelvin measurements, a second set of probes is used for sensing. Negligible current flows in these probes due to the high impedances associated with the sensing inputs; therefore, only the voltage drop across the DUT is measured (see Figure 2). As a result, your resistance measurement or I-V curve generation is more accurate. Source and measurement functions for this measurement technique are typically provided by Source-Measure Units (SMUs) (electronic instruments that source and measure DC voltages and currents).

**Typical Sources of Error**

Low power electrical characterization on carbon nanotube based devices and other nanoscale components can be fraught with measurement error. Offset voltage and noise sources that can normally be ignored when measuring higher signal levels can
introduce significant error into low-voltage, low current, low power measurements. We will discuss four factors that can affect measurement performance and accuracy.

**Offset Voltages**

Ideally, when a voltmeter is connected to a relatively low-impedance circuit in which no voltages are present, it should read zero. However, a number of error sources in the circuit may show up as a non-zero voltage offset. These sources include thermoelectric EMFs, offsets generated by rectification of RFI (radio frequency interference), and offsets in the voltmeter input circuit. Steady offsets can generally be nulled out by shorting the ends of the test leads together and then enabling the instrument’s zero (relative) feature. However, canceling the offset drift may require frequent re-zeroing or using specific measurement techniques, particularly in the case of thermoelectric EMFs.

**Thermoelectric Voltages**

Thermoelectric voltages, or thermoelectric EMFs, are the most common source of errors in low-voltage measurements. These voltages are generated when different parts of a circuit are at different temperatures and when conductors made of dissimilar materials are joined together. Constructing circuits using the same material for all conductors minimizes thermoelectric EMF generation.

Measurements at cryogenic temperatures pose special problems. This is because the connections between the sample in the cryostat and the voltmeter are often made of metals with lower thermal conductivity than copper, such as iron, which introduces dissimilar metals into the circuit. In addition, because the source may be near zero degrees Kelvin while the meter is at 300 degrees Kelvin, there is a large temperature gradient. By matching the composition of the wires between the cryostat and the voltmeter and by keeping all dissimilar metal junction pairs at the same temperature, nanovolt measurements can be made with good accuracy.

Another approach to controlling thermoelectric voltages is to use a delta measurement technique. A constant thermoelectric voltage may be cancelled using voltage measurements made at a positive and negative test current. Alternating the test current also increases noise immunity by increasing the signal-to-noise ratio. Over the short-term, thermoelectric drift may be approximated by a linear function. The difference between consecutive voltage readings is the slope — the rate of change in thermoelectric voltage. This slope is constant, so it may be canceled by alternating the current source three times to make two delta measurements — one at a negative-going step and one at a positive-going step. In order for the linear approximation to be valid, a current source must alternate quickly and the voltmeter must make accurate voltage measurements within a short time interval. If these conditions are met, a three-step delta technique yields an accurate voltage reading of the intended signal unimpeded by thermoelectric offsets and drifts.
Device Heating

Small amounts of heat introduced by the measurement process itself can raise the DUT’s temperature, skewing test results or even destroying the device. Device heating is a consideration when making I-V measurements on temperature-sensitive devices such as nanoscale components or materials.

The power dissipation in a device is given by $P = I^2R$, which means that the power dissipated in the device increases by a factor of four each time the current doubles. One way to minimize the effects of device heating is to use the lowest current possible while maintaining the desired voltage across the device being tested.

Current sources that offer pulse measurement capability can also minimize the amount of power dissipated into a DUT. Pulse measurement tools allow users to program the optimal pulse current amplitude, pulse interval, pulse width, and other pulse parameters to reduce potential device heating and control the energy applied to the device. Combined with a synchronized nanovoltmeter, the combination can synchronize the pulse and measurement—thus reducing device heating.

Contaminated Probes

Test signal integrity when probing carbon nanotubes or nanoscale semiconductor devices depends on a high quality probe contact, which is directly related to contact resistance (Figure 3). Probe contact resistance has become increasingly important as signal voltages drop and contact pressures decrease.

During the course of their use, probe needles can become contaminated. Probe tip wear and contamination that builds up on the tip can cause an increase in contact resistance. The best way to enhance long-term performance of probe tips is to incorporate periodic cleaning procedures in the test protocol. While regularly scheduled cleaning removes contaminants before they cause test yield problems, this gain must
be weighed against its cost. One major cost element associated with cleaning is reduced test throughput while the probe system is out of service. Another consideration is that too little cleaning adversely affects test yields.

**The Necessity for Testing Standards**

As newer electronic devices are created using carbon nanotubes or other nanoscale materials, the need for testing standards becomes more evident. Consistency in measurement technique and reporting of data is critical in order for new manufacturing processes to be consistent. Keithley Instruments worked closely with The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) to create IEEE 1650™-2005, the world’s first measurement standard for the electrical characterization of carbon nanotubes. P1650 and future standards and recommended guidelines will permit semiconductor manufacturers and materials manufacturers of carbon nanotubes and nanoscale materials to precisely manufacture and fabricate the next generation of electronic components.

**Conclusion**

This discussion focused on just a few of the measurement issues that the semiconductor industry and nanotechnologists must confront and overcome when designing the next generation of electronic devices. Traditional measurement techniques can still be applied, but as the dimensions of the devices shrink and power limitations are increasingly of concern, the measurement techniques must be tailored so as to achieve the results one is expecting. New measurement tools are now becoming available that address the many issues. In addition, professional organizations must continue working on developing new measurement standards so that the measurement results can be made, compared, and verified with confidence.
APPENDIX A

Selector Guides
Which Keithley nanotechnology solution is best for your sourcing or measurement application?

Keithley instrumentation is being used in a growing list of nanotechnology research and production test settings. The applications shown here are only a sampling of the nanotechnology test and measurement tasks for which our instruments and systems are suitable. If your tests require sourcing or measuring low level signals, Keithley instrumentation can help you perform them more accurately and cost-effectively.

To discuss how we can work with you to configure a solution for a specific nanotechnology application, contact Keithley’s Applications Engineering department and ask to speak with one of our nano measurements experts. In the U.S., call us toll free at 1-888-KEITHLEY (534-8453). Or contact one of the sales offices listed on our website, www.keithley.com, for guidance.

**Studying highly resistive nanowires?**

The Model 6430 Sub-Femtoamp Remote SourceMeter® instrument’s low noise and drift performance make it ideal. It measures currents with 400aA (400×10⁻¹⁸A) sensitivity.

**Trying to characterize high resistance nanomaterials?**

The Model 6517A Electrometer/High Resistance Meter’s built-in UV source, 200TΩ input resistance, and low current sensitivity make it an ideal solution.

**Want low current measurements without the high price tag?**

With <200µV burden voltage, the cost-effective Model 6185 Picoammeter ensures accurate low current measurements, even in circuits with very low source voltages. The Model 6187 Picoammeter/Voltage Source adds a 50V bias source for high resistance and resistivity measurements.

**Troubled by overheating problems?**

The Model 4200-PIV Option for the Model 4200-SCS combines a pulse generator, an oscilloscope, a specialized interconnect, and powerful software to control pulse IV testing of devices with self-heating issues.

**Testing lots of devices?**

Series 2600 System SourceMeter instruments let you make precision DC, pulse, and low frequency AC source-measure tests quickly, easily, and economically. They offer virtually unlimited flexibility to scale the system’s channel count up or down to match changing application needs.

**Need really high current pulses?**

The Model 2520 Pulsed LIV Test System can source pulses up to 5A with programmable pulse on times from 50ns to 5ms. A remote test head minimizes cable effects and maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio for greater pulse measurement accuracy.

**Looking for just a single channel?**

Each Series 2400 SourceMeter instrument is a complete, single-channel DC parametric tester. Choose from a variety of ranges and functions to suit specific application needs. The Model 2430 can be programmed to produce individual pulses or pulse trains up to 5ms wide.

**Nanobatteries**

Low I, Low Power

**Nanophotonics**

Low I, Pulse

**Synthesized Molecular Electronics/Wires**

Low I, Low Power

**Nanosensors & Arrays**

Low I, Low V

**Thermal Transport**

Low I, Low Power, Pulse
## Table 1. Keithley Pulse Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Desired Pulse Width</th>
<th>Source Type</th>
<th>10V</th>
<th>20V</th>
<th>40V</th>
<th>100V</th>
<th>200V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1ns–100ns</td>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3401/3402 Pulse/Pattern Generators*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4200-SCS w/Pulse I-V Option*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4200-PG2*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ns–1µs</td>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3401/3402 Pulse/Pattern Generators*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4200-PG2 Dual-Channel Pulse Generator*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>2520 Pulsed Laser Diode Test System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1µs–100µs</td>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3401/3402 Pulse/Pattern Generators*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4200-PG2 Dual-Channel Pulse Generator*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>6221 AC &amp; DC Current Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6221 &amp; 2182A AC &amp; DC Current Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2520 Pulsed Laser Diode Test System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100µs or longer</td>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3401/3402 Pulse/Pattern Generators*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4200-PG2 Dual-Channel Pulse Generator*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>2601/2602 System SourceMeter® Instruments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2611/2612 System SourceMeter® Instruments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2430 1kW Pulse Mode SourceMeter® Instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6221 AC &amp; DC Current Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6221 &amp; 2182A AC &amp; DC Current Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2520 Pulsed Laser Diode Test System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Table 2. Keithley Pulse Capabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3401/3402</td>
<td>3ns–1000s</td>
<td>10V</td>
<td>400mA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200-PG2 High Speed</td>
<td>10ns–1s</td>
<td>5V</td>
<td>200mA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200-PG2 High Voltage</td>
<td>250ns–1s</td>
<td>20V</td>
<td>800mA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200-PIV</td>
<td>40ns–150ns</td>
<td>5V</td>
<td>100mA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2520</td>
<td>500ns–5ms</td>
<td>10V</td>
<td>5A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6221</td>
<td>5µs–DC</td>
<td>105V</td>
<td>100mA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6221/2182A</td>
<td>50µs–12ms</td>
<td>105V</td>
<td>100mA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601/2602</td>
<td>300µs–12ms</td>
<td>40V</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2611/2612</td>
<td>200µs–12ms</td>
<td>200V</td>
<td>10A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2430</td>
<td>150µs–5ms</td>
<td>100V</td>
<td>10A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Specification applies for a 50Ω source into 50Ω load.
**Selector Guide: Low Voltage/Low Resistance Meters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>2182A</th>
<th>1801 with 2001 or 2002</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VOLTAGE RANGE (Full Scale)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>10 mV</td>
<td>20 µV</td>
<td>200 mV</td>
<td>100 mV</td>
<td>100 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>100 V</td>
<td>2 mV</td>
<td>1000 V</td>
<td>1000 V</td>
<td>1000 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Voltage Noise</td>
<td>1.2 nV rms</td>
<td>0.12 nV rms</td>
<td>150 nV rms</td>
<td>100 nV rms</td>
<td>&lt;1.5 µV rms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESISTANCE RANGE**

| From¹ | 10 nΩ ³ | 20 µΩ | 1.2 mΩ | 0.9 mΩ | 0.4 mΩ |
|       | 100 MΩ ³ | 200 Ω | 1 GΩ   | 100 MΩ | 100 MΩ |

| To²   | 100 mΩ ³ | 200 Ω | 1 GΩ   | 100 mΩ | 100 mΩ |

**THERMOCOUPLE TEMPERATURE**

|        |        |        |        |        |        |
| To     | 1820°C | 1820°C | 1820°C | 1372°C | 1820°C |

**FEATURES**

- IEEE-488
- RS-232
- CE

**Input Connection**

- Special low thermoelectric w/ copper pins. Optional 2187-4 Modular Probe Kit adds banana plugs, spring clips, needle probes, and alligator clips.
- Copper nuts (4)
- Banana jacks (4)

**Special Features**

- Delta mode and differential conductance with Model 6220 or 6221. Pulsed I-V with Model 6221. Analog output.
- Multi-function. Temperature. IEEE-488. DMM.
- 8½ digits. DMM. Plug-in scanner cards.

1. Lowest resistance measurable with better than 10% accuracy.
2. Highest resistance measurable with better than 1% accuracy.
3. Delta mode, offset voltage compensation with external current source.

10 nΩ if used with 5A test current with Model 2440.
## Selector Guide: Source and Measure Products

### Description
- **Model**: 2400, 2400-C, 2410, 2420, 2425, 2430, 2440
- **Source/Sink**: Current Source/Sink
- **Voltage Source/Sink**: Voltage Source/Sink

### Current Source/Sink
- **2400-C**: 3 A
- **2420-C**: 3 A
- **2425-C**: 3 A
- **2430-C**: 10 A
- **2440-C**: 5 A

### Voltage Source/Sink
- **2400-C**: 3 A
- **2420-C**: 3 A
- **2425-C**: 3 A
- **2430-C**: 10 A
- **2440-C**: 5 A

### Power Output
- **2400-C**: 22 W
- **2420-C**: 22 W
- **2425-C**: 66 W
- **2430-C**: 110 W
- **2440-C**: 1100 W

### Power Output (in pulse mode)
- **2400-C**: 55 W
- **2420-C**: 55 W
- **2425-C**: 55 W
- **2430-C**: 55 W
- **2440-C**: 55 W

### Voltage Capability
- **Min. (µV)**: ±1 µV
- **Max. (µV)**: ±1 µV
- **Range (Ω)**: <0.2 Ω to 200 MΩ

### Current Capability
- **Min. (µA)**: ±10 pA
- **Max. (µA)**: ±100 pA
- **Range (Ω)**: <0.2 Ω to >200 MΩ

### OHMS Range
- **Max. (Ω)**: ±210 Ω
- **Range (µV)**: <0.2 µV to ±1100 V

### Basic Accuracy
- **I (µA)**: 0.035%
- **V (µV)**: 0.015%
- **Ω (Ω)**: 0.06%

### Applications
- Resitive devices
- Diodes
- Opto-electronic components
- IDDQ testing
- Voltage coefficient
- Varistors
- High voltage diodes and protection devices
- Airbag inflators
- Power resistors
- Thermistors
- Solar cells
- Batteries
- Diodes
- IDDQ testing
- Power semi-conductors
- DC/DC converters
- High power components
- IDDQ testing
- High power pulse testing
- Varistors and other circuit protection devices
- Airbag inflators
- Power resistors
- Thermistors
- Solar cells
- Batteries
- Diodes
- IDDQ testing
- Power semi-conductors
- DC/DC converters
- High power components
- IDDQ testing
- High power pulse testing
- Varistors and other circuit protection devices
- 5A pump laser diodes

### Feature Summary
- **Pulse Mode**: No
- **Linear/Log/Custom Sweeps**: Yes
- **Embedded Execution**: Yes
- **Embedded Scripting**: No
- **Contact Check**: Optional
- **Selectable Front/Rear Inputs**: Yes
- **Connections**: Banana
- **Limit Inspection**: Yes
- **Selectable Output-Off Impedance State**: Yes
- **Remote or 4W Voltage Sense**: Yes
- **Source Readback**: Yes
- **Command Language Protocol**: SCPI
- **Programming**: IEEE-488, RS-232
- **Memory**: 5000 point, 2500 point reading buffer
- **Trigger**: Trigger Link with 6 In/Out
- **Guard**: Ohms (high current) and cable
- **Digital I/O**: 1 In/4 Out with built-in component handler interfaces
- **Other**: 5½-digit measure capability. Handler interface. 500µs pass/fail test. Optional contact check capability
- **Compliance**: CE, UL, CE, CE, CE, CE

* In pulse mode.
### MODEL \[2601, 2602, 2611, 2612, 6430, 4200-SCS, 4500-MTS\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2601</th>
<th>2602</th>
<th>2611</th>
<th>2612</th>
<th>6430</th>
<th>4200-SCS</th>
<th>4500-MTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Source/Sink</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Source only</td>
<td>Source only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage Source/Sink</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Source only</td>
<td>Source only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POWER OUTPUT</strong></td>
<td>40.4 W/channel</td>
<td>30.6 W/channel</td>
<td>2 W</td>
<td>Up to 96.8 W</td>
<td>Up to 216 W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CURRENT CAPABILITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>±1 pA</td>
<td>±1 pA</td>
<td>±10 aA**</td>
<td>±10 aA w/PA</td>
<td>±10 aA w/PA</td>
<td>±0.1 nA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>±3.03 A/channel</td>
<td>±1.5 A DC/10 A</td>
<td>±0.15 mA</td>
<td>±0.15 mA</td>
<td>±0.15 mA</td>
<td>±1 A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VOLTAGE CAPABILITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>±1 µV</td>
<td>±1 µV</td>
<td>±1 µV</td>
<td>±1 µV</td>
<td>±1 µV</td>
<td>±10 mV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>±40.4 V/channel</td>
<td>±202 V</td>
<td>±210 V</td>
<td>±210 V</td>
<td>±210 V</td>
<td>±10 V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OHMS RANGE</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;2.0 Ω to &gt;20 Ω</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BASE ACCURACY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>0.035%</td>
<td>0.05%***</td>
<td>0.065%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.015%</td>
<td>0.015%</td>
<td>0.015%</td>
<td>0.012%***</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>APPLICATIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- I-V functional test and characterization of 2- and 3-leaded discrete and passive components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Testing integrated devices such as RFICs, ASICs, SOCs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Testing opto devices such as LEDs, VCSELs, and displays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Testing nanosensors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Particle beam experiments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SET testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ultra-high R (to 10¹⁰Ω)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nano materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Experimental nanostructures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Semiconductor laser diode DC/CW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Carbon nanotube char.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Materials research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nanoelect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High speed parallel testing of:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- HiB LEDs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Photonic integrated circuits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Optoelectronic devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FEATURE SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Summary</th>
<th>2601</th>
<th>2602</th>
<th>2611</th>
<th>2612</th>
<th>6430</th>
<th>4200-SCS</th>
<th>4500-MTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Mode</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear/Log/Custom Sweeps</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedded Execution</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedded Scripting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Check</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selectable F/R Inputs</td>
<td>Rear only</td>
<td>Rear only</td>
<td>Rear and Preamp</td>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>Rear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connections</td>
<td>Screw terminal, adapters for banana and triax</td>
<td>Triax</td>
<td>Lemo Triax, Std. Triax in Preamp</td>
<td>High density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit Inspection</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selectable Output-Off Impedance State</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (using output of relay states)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote or 4W Voltage Sense</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Readback</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Language Protocol</td>
<td>ICL</td>
<td>ICL</td>
<td>SCPI</td>
<td>SCPI</td>
<td>SCPI</td>
<td>PXCI</td>
<td>4500 test API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>100,000 rdgs / channel</td>
<td>100,000 rdgs / channel</td>
<td>5000 point, 2500 point rdg. buffer</td>
<td>4096 sample memory per card</td>
<td>Up to 1,000,000 points per card</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigger</td>
<td>14 digital I/O-trigger lines</td>
<td>14 digital I/O-trigger lines</td>
<td>14 In/4 Out trigger Link</td>
<td>1 In/4 Out trigger Link</td>
<td>Internal only</td>
<td>Triggering w/PCI digital I/O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guard</td>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>Ohms (high I) and cable</td>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>Cable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital I/O</td>
<td>14 digital I/O-trigger lines</td>
<td>14 digital I/O-trigger lines</td>
<td>w/built-in handler interfaces</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>With optional PCI card</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Scalable to 16+ channels with TSP-Link™</td>
<td>Scalable to 16+ channels with TSP-Link</td>
<td>5½-digit measure. Handler interface. 500µs pass/fail.</td>
<td>Optimized for front panel operation</td>
<td>5½-digit measure. Handler interface w/PCI digital I/O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>CE, UL</td>
<td>CE, UL</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In pulse mode. ** 1aA = 1x10⁻¹⁸A. *** Approximate average.
Selector Guide: Picoammeters, Electrometers, Source-Measure Units (Measurement)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>428-PROG</th>
<th>6485</th>
<th>6487</th>
<th>2502</th>
<th>6514</th>
<th>6517A</th>
<th>6430</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CURRENT MEASURE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>1.2 fA</td>
<td>20 fA</td>
<td>20 fA</td>
<td>15 fA</td>
<td>&lt;1 fA</td>
<td>&lt;1 fA</td>
<td>400 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>10 mA</td>
<td>20 mA</td>
<td>20 mA</td>
<td>20 mA</td>
<td>20 mA</td>
<td>20 mA</td>
<td>100 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VOLTAGE MEASURE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>10 µV</td>
<td>10 µV</td>
<td>10 µV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>200 V</td>
<td>200 V</td>
<td>200 V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESISTANCE MEASURE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>10 Ω</td>
<td>10 Ω</td>
<td>100 Ω</td>
<td>100 µΩ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>1 PΩ</td>
<td>200 GΩ</td>
<td>10 PΩ³</td>
<td>10 PΩ³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHARGE MEASURE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>10 fC</td>
<td>10 fC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>20 µC</td>
<td>2 µC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FEATURES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Connection</th>
<th>BNC</th>
<th>BNC</th>
<th>3 Slot Triax</th>
<th>3 Slot Triax</th>
<th>3 Slot Triax</th>
<th>3 Slot Triax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEEE-488</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS-232</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guard</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other**


1. Includes noise.
2. Digital resolution limit. Noise may have to be added.
3. PΩ (Petaohms) = 10¹⁵Ω.
4. Resistance is measured with the 236, 237, and 238 using Source V/Measure I or Source I/Measure V, but not directly displayed.
5. Lowest resistance measurable with better than 1% accuracy.
6. Highest resistance measurable with better than 10% accuracy.
## Selector Guide: Sources and Source-Measure Units (Sourcing)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>6220</th>
<th>6221</th>
<th>248</th>
<th>6430</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Source</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage Source</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sink</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CURRENT OUTPUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2 pA</th>
<th>2 pA DC</th>
<th>2 pA AC</th>
<th>10 fA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy¹</td>
<td>100 fA</td>
<td>100 fA (DC &amp; AC)</td>
<td>50 aA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution²</td>
<td>±105 mA</td>
<td>±105 mA</td>
<td>±105 mA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>±1.5 V</td>
<td>±5 µV</td>
<td>±5000 V</td>
<td>±210 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VOLTAGE OUTPUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>±5000 V</th>
<th>±210 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>11 W</td>
<td>11 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>25 W</td>
<td>2.2 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POWER OUTPUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>11 W</th>
<th>25 W</th>
<th>2.2 W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>105 V</td>
<td>105 V</td>
<td>105 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>0 to 5000 V</td>
<td>0.2 mV to 210 V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ACCURACY (±Setting)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.05%</th>
<th>0.05%</th>
<th>0.03%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FEATURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output Connector</th>
<th>3 Slot Triax</th>
<th>3 Slot Triax</th>
<th>SHV High Voltage Coax</th>
<th>3 Slot Triax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethernet</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS-232</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE-488</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>65,000 pt.</td>
<td>65,000 pt.</td>
<td>2500 pt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Sense</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Source Guard</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Controls 2182A for low-power resistance and I-V measurements.</td>
<td>AC and DC current source. ARB waveforms up to 100kHz. Controls 2182A like 6220, adds pulsed I-V.</td>
<td>Voltage monitor output. Programmable voltage limit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹. Best absolute accuracy of source.
². Resolution for lowest range, smallest change in current that source can provide.
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Appendix B
Glossary
**Absolute Accuracy.** A measure of the closeness of agreement of an instrument reading compared to that of a primary standard having absolute traceability to a standard sanctioned by a recognized standards organization. Accuracy is often separated into gain and offset terms. See also **Relative Accuracy.**

**A/D (Analog-to-Digital) Converter.** A circuit used to convert an analog input signal into digital information. All digital meters use an A/D converter to convert the input signal into digital information.

**Analog Output.** An output that is directly proportional to the input signal.

**Assembler.** A molecular manufacturing device that can be used to guide chemical reactions by positioning molecules. An assembler can be programmed to build virtually any molecular structure or device from simpler chemical building blocks.

**Auto-Ranging.** The ability of an instrument to automatically switch among ranges to determine the range offering the highest resolution. The ranges are usually in decade steps.

**Auto-Ranging Time.** For instruments with auto-ranging capability, the time interval between application of a step input signal and its display, including the time for determining and changing to the correct range.

**Bandwidth.** The range of frequencies that can be conducted or amplified within certain limits. Bandwidth is usually specified by the –3dB (half-power) points.

**Bias Voltage.** A voltage applied to a circuit or device to establish a reference level or operating point of the device during testing.

**Capacitance.** In a capacitor or system of conductors and dielectrics, that property which permits the storage of electrically separated charges when potential differences exist between the conductors. Capacitance is related to the charge and voltage as follows: \( C = \frac{Q}{V} \), where \( C \) is the capacitance in farads, \( Q \) is the charge in coulombs, and \( V \) is the voltage in volts.

**Carbon Nanotube.** A tube-shaped nanodevice formed from a sheet of single-layer carbon atoms that has novel electrical and tensile properties. These fibers may exhibit electrical conductivity as high as copper, thermal conductivity as high as diamond, strength 100 times greater than steel at one-sixth of steel's weight, and high strain to failure. They can be superconducting, insulating, semiconducting, or conducting (metallic). Non-carbon nanotubes, often called nanowires, are often created from boron nitride or silicon.

**Channel (switching).** One of several signal paths on a switching card. For scanner or multiplexer cards, the channel is used as a switched input in measuring circuits, or as a switched output in sourcing circuits. For switch cards, each channel's signals...
paths are independent of other channels. For matrix cards, a channel is established by the actuation of a relay at a row and column crosspoint.

**Coaxial Cable.** A cable formed from two or more coaxial cylindrical conductors insulated from each other. The outermost conductor is often earth grounded.

**Common-Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR).** The ability of an instrument to reject interference from a common voltage at its input terminals with respect to ground. Usually expressed in decibels at a given frequency.

**Common-Mode Current.** The current that flows between the input low terminal and chassis ground of an instrument.

**Common-Mode Voltage.** A voltage between input low and earth ground of an instrument.

**Contact Resistance.** The resistance in ohms between the contacts of a relay or connector when the contacts are closed or in contact.

**Contamination.** Generally used to describe the unwanted material that adversely affects the physical, chemical, or electrical properties of a semiconductor or insulator.

**D/A (Digital-to-Analog) Converter.** A circuit used to convert digital information into an analog signal. D/A converters are used in many instruments to provide an isolated analog output.

**Dielectric Absorption.** The effect of residual charge storage after a previously charged capacitor has been discharged momentarily.

**Digital Multimeter (DMM).** An electronic instrument that measures voltage, current, resistance, or other electrical parameters by converting the analog signal to digital information and display. The typical five-function DMM measures DC volts, DC amps, AC volts, AC amps, and resistance.

**Drift.** A gradual change of a reading with no change in input signal or operating conditions.

**Dry Circuit Testing.** The process of measuring a device while keeping the voltage across the device below a certain level (e.g., <20mV) in order to prevent disturbance of oxidation or other degradation of the device being measured.

**Electrochemical Effect.** A phenomenon whereby currents are generated by galvanic battery action caused by contamination and humidity.

**Electrometer.** A highly refined DC multimeter. In comparison with a digital multimeter, an electrometer is characterized by higher input resistance and greater current sensitivity. It can also have functions not generally available on DMMs (e.g., measuring electric charge, sourcing voltage).
**EMF.** Electromotive force or voltage. EMF is generally used in context of a voltage difference caused by electromagnetic, electrochemical, or thermal effects.

**Electrostatic Coupling.** A phenomenon whereby a current is generated by a varying or moving voltage source near a conductor.

**Error.** The deviation (difference or ratio) of a measurement from its true value. True values are by their nature indeterminate. See also **Random Error** and **Systematic Error**.

**Fall Time.** The time required for a signal to change from a large percentage (usually 90%) to a small percentage (usually 10%) of its peak-to-peak value. See also Rise Time.

**Faraday Cup.** A Faraday cup (sometimes called a Faraday cage or icepail) is an enclosure made of sheet metal or mesh. It consists of two electrodes, one inside the other, separated by an insulator. While the inner electrode is connected to the electrometer, the outer electrode is connected to ground. When a charged object is placed inside the inner electrode, all the charge will flow into the measurement instrument. The electric field inside a closed, empty conductor is zero, so the cup shields the object placed inside it from any atmospheric or stray electric fields. This allows measuring the charge on the object accurately.

**Feedback Picoammeter.** A sensitive ammeter that uses an operational amplifier feedback configuration to convert an input current into voltage for measurement.

**Floating.** The condition where a common-mode voltage exists between an earth ground and the instrument or circuit of interest. (Circuit low is not tied to earth potential.)

**Four-Point Probe.** The four-point collinear probe resistivity measurement technique involves bringing four equally spaced probes in contact with the material of unknown resistance. The array is placed in the center of the material. A known current is passed through the two outside probes and the voltage is sensed at the two inside probes. The resistivity is calculated as follows:

\[
\rho = \frac{\pi}{ln2} \times \frac{V}{I} \times t \times k
\]

where: \(V\) = the measured voltage in volts, \(I\) = the source current in amps, \(t\) = the wafer thickness in centimeters, and \(k\) = a correction factor based on the ratio of the probe to wafer diameter and on the ratio of wafer thickness to probe separation.

**Four-Terminal Resistance Measurement.** A measurement where two leads are used to supply a current to the unknown, and two different leads are used to sense the voltage drop across the resistance. The four-terminal configuration provides maximum benefits when measuring low resistances.
**Fullerene.** Refers to C60, an approximately spherical, hollow, carbon molecule containing 60 carbon atoms arranged in interlocking hexagons and pentagons, reminiscent of the geodesic dome created by architect R. Buckminster Fuller. Sometimes called “buckminsterfullerene” or “buckyball.”

**Ground Loop.** A situation resulting when two or more instruments are connected to different points on the ground bus and to earth or power line ground. Ground loops can develop undesired offset voltages or noise.

**Guarding.** A technique that reduces leakage errors and decreases response time. Guarding consists of a conductor driven by a low impedance source surrounding the lead of a high impedance signal. The guard voltage is kept at or near the potential of the signal voltage.

**Hall Effect.** The measurement of the transverse voltage across a conductor when placed in a magnetic field. With this measurement, it is possible to determine the type, concentration, and mobility of carriers in silicon.

**High Impedance Terminal.** A terminal where the source resistance times the expected stray current (for example, 1µA) exceeds the required voltage measurement sensitivity.

**Input Bias Current.** The current that flows at the instrument input due to internal instrument circuitry and bias voltage.

**Input Impedance.** The shunt resistance and capacitance (or inductance) as measured at the input terminals, not including effects of input bias or offset currents.

**Input Offset Current.** The difference between the two currents that must be supplied to the input measuring terminals of a differential instrument to reduce the output indication to zero (with zero input voltage and offset voltage). Sometimes informally used to refer to input bias current.

**Input Offset Voltage.** The voltage that must be applied directly between the input measuring terminals, with bias current supplied by a resistance path, to reduce the output indication to zero.

**Input Resistance.** The resistive component of input impedance.

**Insulation Resistance.** The ohmic resistance of insulation. Insulation resistance degrades quickly as humidity increases.

**Johnson Noise.** The noise in a resistor caused by the thermal motion of charge carriers. It has a white noise spectrum and is determined by the temperature, bandwidth, and resistance value.
Leakage Current. Error current that flows (leaks) through insulation resistance when a voltage is applied. Even high resistance paths between low current conductors and nearby voltage sources can generate significant leakage currents.

Long-Term Accuracy. The limit that errors will not exceed during a 90-day or longer time period. It is expressed as a percentage of reading (or sourced value) plus a number of counts over a specified temperature range.

Maximum Allowable Input. The maximum DC plus peak AC value (voltage or current) that can be applied between the high and low input measuring terminals without damaging the instrument.

MEMS. Microelectromechanical systems. Describes systems that can respond to a stimulus or create physical forces (sensors and actuators) and that have dimensions on the micrometer scale. They are typically manufactured using the same lithographic techniques used to make silicon-based ICs.

Micro-ohmmeter. An ohmmeter that is optimized for low resistance measurements. The typical micro-ohmmeter uses the four-terminal measurement method and has special features for optimum low level measurement accuracy.

Molecular Electronics. Any system with atomically precise electronic devices of nanometer dimensions, especially if made of discrete molecular parts, rather than the continuous materials found in today’s semiconductor devices.

Molecular Manipulator. A device combining a proximal-probe mechanism for atomically precise positioning with a molecule binding site on the tip; can serve as the basis for building complex structures by positional synthesis.

Molecular Manufacturing. Manufacturing using molecular machinery, giving molecule-by-molecule control of products and by-products via positional chemical synthesis.

Molecular Nanotechnology. Thorough, inexpensive control of the structure of matter based on molecule-by-molecule control of products and by-products; the products and processes of molecular manufacturing, including molecular machinery.

MOSFET. A metal oxide field effect transistor. A unipolar device characterized by extremely high input resistance.

Nano-. A prefix meaning one billionth (1/1,000,000,000).

Nanoelectronics. Electronics on a nanometer scale. Includes both molecular electronics and nanoscale devices that resemble current semiconductor devices.

Nanotechnology. Fabrication of devices with atomic or molecular scale precision. Devices with minimum feature sizes less than 100 nanometers (nm) are considered products of nanotechnology. A nanometer [one-billionth of a meter (10⁻⁹m)] is
the unit of length generally most appropriate for describing the size of single molecules.

**Nanovoltmeter.** A voltmeter optimized to provide nanovolt sensitivity (generally uses low thermoelectric EMF connectors, offset compensation, etc.).

**Noise.** Any unwanted signal imposed on a desired signal.

**Normal-Mode Rejection Ratio (NMRR).** The ability of an instrument to reject interference across its input terminals. Usually expressed in decibels at a specific frequency such as that of the AC power line.

**Normal-Mode Voltage.** A voltage applied between the high and low input terminals of an instrument.

**Offset Current.** A current generated by a circuit even though no signals are applied. Offset currents are generated by triboelectric, piezoelectric, or electrochemical effects present in the circuit.

**Overload Protection.** A circuit that protects the instrument from excessive current or voltage at the input terminals.

**Picoammeter.** An ammeter optimized for the precise measurement of small currents. Generally, a feedback ammeter.

**Piezoelectric Effect.** A term used to describe currents generated when mechanical stress is applied to certain types of insulators.

**Precision.** Refers to the freedom of uncertainty in the measurement. It is often applied in the context of repeatability or reproducibility and should not be used in place of accuracy. See also Uncertainty.

**Quantum Dot.** A nanoscale object (usually a semiconductor island) that can confine a single electron (or a few) and in which the electrons occupy discrete energy states, just as they would in an atom. Quantum dots have been called “artificial atoms.”

**Random Error.** The mean of a large number of measurements influenced by random error matches the true value. See also Systematic Error.

**Range.** A continuous band of signal values that can be measured or sourced. In bipolar instruments, range includes positive and negative values.

**Reading.** The displayed number that represents the characteristic of the input signal.

**Reading Rate.** The rate at which the reading number is updated. The reading rate is the reciprocal of the time between readings.

**Relative Accuracy.** The accuracy of a measuring instrument in reference to a secondary standard. See also Absolute Accuracy.
Repeatability. The closeness of agreement between successive measurements carried out under the same conditions.

Reproducibility. The closeness of agreement between measurements of the same quantity carried out with a stated change in conditions.

Resolution. The smallest portion of the input (or output) signal that can be measured (or sourced) and displayed.

Response Time. For a measuring instrument, the time between application of a step input signal and the indication of its magnitude within a rated accuracy. For a sourcing instrument, the time between a programmed change and the availability of the value at its output terminals. Also known as Settling Time.

Rise Time. The time required for a signal to change from a small percentage (usually 10%) to a large percentage (usually 90%) of its peak-to-peak amplitude. See also Fall Time.

Sensitivity. The smallest quantity that can be measured and displayed.

Settling Time. For a measuring instrument, the time between application of a step input signal and the indication of its magnitude within a rated accuracy. For a sourcing instrument, the time between a programmed change and the availability of the value at its output terminals. Also known as Response Time.

Shielding. A metal enclosure around the circuit being measured, or a metal sleeve surrounding the wire conductors (coax or triax cable) to lessen interference, interaction, or leakage. The shield is usually grounded or connected to input LO.

Shunt Ammeter. A type of ammeter that measures current by converting the input current into a voltage by means of shunt resistance. Shunt ammeters have higher voltage burden and lower sensitivity than do feedback ammeters.

Shunt Capacitance Loading. The effect on a measurement of the capacitance across the input terminals, such as from cables or fixtures. Shunt capacitance increases both rise time and settling time.

Short-Term Accuracy. The limit that errors will not exceed during a short, specified time period (such as 24 hours) of continuous operation. Unless specified, no zeroing or adjustment of any kind are permitted. It is expressed as percentage of reading (or sourced value) plus a number of counts over a specified temperature range.

Single Electron Transistor. A switching device that uses controlled electron tunneling to amplify current. An SET is made from two tunnel junctions that share a common electrode. A tunnel junction consists of two pieces of metal separated by a very thin (~1nm) insulator. The only way for electrons in one of the metal electrodes to travel to the other electrode is to tunnel through the insulator.
Tunneling is a discrete process, so the electric charge that flows through the tunnel junction flows in multiples of $e$, the charge of a single electron.

**Source Impedance.** The combination of resistance and capacitive or inductive reactance the source presents to the input terminals of a measuring instrument.

**Source-Measure Unit (SMU).** An electronic instrument that sources and measures DC voltage and current. Generally, SMUs have two modes of operation: source voltage and measure current, or source current and measure voltage. Also known as source-monitor unit or stimulus-measurement unit.

**SourceMeter.** A SourceMeter® instrument is very similar to the source-measure unit in many ways, including its ability to source and measure both current and voltage and to perform sweeps. In addition, a SourceMeter instrument can display the measurements directly in resistance, as well as voltage and current. It is designed for general-purpose, high speed production test applications. It can also be used as a source for moderate to low level measurements and for research applications.

**Source Resistance.** The resistive component of source impedance. See also *Thevenin Equivalent Circuit*.

**Spintronics.** Electronics that take advantage of the spin of an electron in some way, rather than just its charge.

**Standard Cell.** An electrochemical cell used as a voltage reference in laboratories.

**Superconductor.** A conductor that has zero resistance. Such materials usually become superconducting only at very low temperatures.

**Switch Card.** A type of card with independent and isolated relays for switching inputs and outputs on each channel.

**Switching Mainframe.** A switching instrument that connects signals among sourcing and measuring instruments and devices under test. A mainframe is also referred to as a scanner, multiplexer, matrix, or programmable switch.

**Systematic Error.** The mean of a large number of measurements influenced by systematic error deviates from the true value. See also *Random Error*.

**Temperature Coefficient.** A measure of the change in reading (or sourced value) with a change in temperature. It is expressed as a percentage of reading (or sourced value), plus a number of counts per degree change in temperature.

**Temperature Coefficient of Resistance.** The change of resistance of a material or device per degree of temperature change, usually expressed in ppm/°C.

**Thermoelectric EMFs.** Voltages resulting from temperature differences within a measuring circuit or when conductors of dissimilar materials are joined together.
**Thevenin Equivalent Circuit.** A circuit used to simplify analysis of complex, two-terminal linear networks. The Thevenin equivalent voltage is the open-circuit voltage and the Thevenin equivalent resistance equals the open-circuit voltage divided by the short-circuit current.

**Transfer Accuracy.** A comparison of two nearly equal measurements over a limited temperature range and time period. It is expressed in ppm. *See also Relative Accuracy, Short-Term Accuracy.*

**Triboelectric Effect.** A phenomenon whereby currents are generated by charges created by friction between a conductor and an insulator.

**Trigger.** An external stimulus that initiates one or more instrument functions. Trigger stimuli include: an input signal, the front panel, an external trigger pulse, and IEEE-488 bus X, talk, and GET triggers.

**Two-Terminal Resistance Measurement.** A measurement where the source current and sense voltage are applied through the same set of test leads.

**Uncertainty.** An estimate of the possible error in a measurement; in other words, the estimated possible deviation from its actual value.

**van der Pauw Measurement.** A measurement technique used to measure the resistivity of arbitrarily shaped samples.

**Voltage Burden.** The voltage drop across the input terminals of an ammeter.

**Voltage Coefficient.** The change in resistance value with applied voltage. Usually expressed in percent/V or in ppm/V.

**Warm-up Time.** The time required after power is applied to an instrument to achieve rated accuracy at reference conditions.

**Zero Offset.** The reading that occurs when the input terminals of a measuring instrument are shorted (voltmeter) or open-circuited (ammeter).
APPENDIX C

Safety Considerations
Many electrical test systems or instruments are capable of measuring or sourcing hazardous voltage and power levels. It is also possible, under single fault conditions (e.g., a programming error or an instrument failure), to output hazardous levels even when the system indicates no hazard is present.

These high voltage and power levels make it essential to protect operators from any of these hazards at all times.

Protection methods include:

- Design test fixtures to prevent operator contact with any hazardous circuit.
- Make sure the device under test is fully enclosed to protect the operator from any flying debris.
- Double insulate all electrical connections that an operator could touch. Double insulation ensures the operator is still protected, even if one insulation layer fails.
- Use high-reliability, fail-safe interlock switches to disconnect power sources when a test fixture cover is opened.
- Where possible, use automated handlers so operators do not require access to the inside of the test fixture or have a need to open guards.
- Provide proper training to all users of the system so they understand all potential hazards and know how to protect themselves from injury.

It is the responsibility of the test system designers, integrators, and installers to make sure operator and maintenance personnel protection is in place and effective.

The following safety precautions should be observed before using any Keithley product and any associated instrumentation. Although some instruments and accessories would normally be used with non-hazardous voltages, there are situations where hazardous conditions may be present.

Keithley products are intended for use by qualified personnel who recognize shock hazards and are familiar with the safety precautions required to avoid possible injury. Read and follow all installation, operation, and maintenance information carefully before using the product. Refer to the manual for complete product specifications.

If Keithley products are used in a manner not specified, the protection provided by the products may be impaired.

The types of product users are:

**Responsible body** is the individual or group responsible for the use and maintenance of equipment, for ensuring that the equipment is operated within its specifications and operating limits, and for ensuring that operators are adequately trained.
Operators use the product for its intended function. They must be trained in electrical safety procedures and proper use of the instrument. They must be protected from electric shock and contact with hazardous live circuits.

Maintenance personnel perform routine procedures on the product to keep it operating properly, for example, setting the line voltage or replacing consumable materials. Maintenance procedures are described in the manual. The procedures explicitly state if the operator may perform them. Otherwise, they should be performed only by service personnel.

Service personnel are trained to work on live circuits, and perform safe installations and repairs of products. Only properly trained service personnel may perform installation and service procedures.

Keithley products are designed for use with electrical signals that are rated Measurement Category I and Measurement Category II, as described in the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Standard IEC 60664. Most measurement, control, and data I/O signals are Measurement Category I and must not be directly connected to mains voltage or to voltage sources with high transient over-voltages. Measurement Category II connections require protection for high transient over-voltages often associated with local AC mains connections. Assume all measurement, control, and data I/O connections are for connection to Category I sources unless otherwise marked or described in the manual.

Exercise extreme caution when a shock hazard is present. Lethal voltage may be present on cable connector jacks or test fixtures. The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) states that a shock hazard exists when voltage levels greater than 30V RMS, 42.4V peak, or 60VDC are present. A good safety practice is to expect that hazardous voltage is present in any unknown circuit before measuring.

Operators of Keithley products must be protected from electric shock at all times. The responsible body must ensure that operators are prevented access and/or insulated from every connection point. In some cases, connections must be exposed to potential human contact. Product operators in these circumstances must be trained to protect themselves from the risk of electric shock. If the circuit is capable of operating at or above 1000 volts, no conductive part of the circuit may be exposed.

As described in the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Standard IEC 664, instruments that are Installation Category I must not be directly connected to AC mains. Do not connect switching cards directly to unlimited power circuits. They are intended to be used with impedance limited sources. NEVER connect switching cards directly to AC mains, the level of power supplied by an electric utility company. When connecting sources to switching cards, install protective devices to limit fault current and voltage to the card.
Before operating an instrument, make sure the line cord is connected to a properly grounded power receptacle. Inspect the connecting cables, test leads, and jumpers for possible wear, cracks, or breaks before each use.

When installing equipment where access to the main power cord is restricted, such as rack mounting, a separate main input power disconnect device must be provided, in close proximity to the equipment and within easy reach of the operator.

For maximum safety, do not touch the product, test cables, or any other instruments while power is applied to the circuit under test. ALWAYS remove power from the entire test system and discharge any capacitors before: connecting or disconnecting cables or jumpers, installing or removing switching cards, or making internal changes, such as installing or removing jumpers.

Do not touch any object that could provide a current path to the common side of the circuit under test or power line (earth) ground. Always make measurements with dry hands while standing on a dry, insulated surface capable of withstanding the voltage being measured.

The instrument and accessories must be used in accordance with its specifications and operating instructions or the safety of the equipment may be impaired.

Do not exceed the maximum signal levels of the instruments and accessories, as defined in the specifications and operating information, and as shown on the instrument or test fixture panels, or switching card.

When fuses are used in a product, replace with same type and rating for continued protection against fire hazard.

Chassis connections must only be used as shield connections for measuring circuits, NOT as safety earth ground connections.

If you are using a test fixture, keep the lid closed while power is applied to the device under test. Safe operation requires the use of a lid interlock.

If a screw is present, connect it to safety earth ground using the wire recommended in the user documentation.

The symbol on an instrument indicates that the user should refer to the operating instructions located in the manual.

The symbol on an instrument shows that it can source or measure 1000 volts or more, including the combined effect of normal and common mode voltages. Use standard safety precautions to avoid personal contact with these voltages.

The symbol on an instrument shows that the surface might be hot. Avoid personal contact to prevent burns.

The symbol indicates a connection terminal to the equipment frame.
The **WARNING** heading in a manual explains dangers that might result in personal injury or death. Always read the associated information very carefully before performing the indicated procedure.

The **CAUTION** heading in a manual explains hazards that could damage the instrument. Such damage may invalidate the warranty.

Instrumentation and accessories shall not be connected to humans.

Before performing any maintenance, disconnect the line cord and all test cables.

To maintain protection from electric shock and fire, replacement components in mains circuits, including the power transformer, test leads, and input jacks, must be purchased from Keithley Instruments. Standard fuses, with applicable national safety approvals, may be used if the rating and type are the same. Other components that are not safety related may be purchased from other suppliers as long as they are equivalent to the original component. (Note that selected parts should be purchased only through Keithley Instruments to maintain accuracy and functionality of the product.) If you are unsure about the applicability of a replacement component, call a Keithley Instruments office for information.

To clean an instrument, use a damp cloth or mild, water based cleaner. Clean the exterior of the instrument only. Do not apply cleaner directly to the instrument or allow liquids to enter or spill on the instrument. Products that consist of a circuit board with no case or chassis (e.g., data acquisition board for installation into a computer) should never require cleaning if handled according to instructions. If the board becomes contaminated and operation is affected, the board should be returned to the factory for proper cleaning/servicing.
APPENDIX D

Troubleshooting
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement Type and Typical Applications</th>
<th>Error Symptoms</th>
<th>Likely Causes</th>
<th>How to Avoid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Voltage</td>
<td>Offset Voltage</td>
<td>Thermoelectric EMF</td>
<td>Keep all connections at same temperature. Use crimped Cu-Cu connections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noisy Readings</td>
<td>Thermoelectric EMF</td>
<td>See above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetic Interference</td>
<td>Magnetic interference</td>
<td>Arrange leads as twisted pairs. Remove/shield from magnetic fields.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Loop</td>
<td>Ground Loop</td>
<td>Connect to ground at only one &quot;star&quot; point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Current</td>
<td>Offset Current</td>
<td>Insulator Leakage</td>
<td>Guard/Choose good insulator/Clean well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meter Bias Current</td>
<td>Choose picoammeter/electrometer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Detector Dark Current</td>
<td>Suppress or subtract with REL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noisy Readings</td>
<td>Electrostatic Coupling</td>
<td>Shield and avoid high voltage and movement nearby.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vibration/Deformation</td>
<td>Isolate room vibration. Use low noise cables. Use shunt ammeter or add series resistance. Stabilize temperature of DUT and meter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High Input Capacitance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Offset Current Drift</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gain Error at Low Voltage</td>
<td>Voltage Burden</td>
<td>Use feedback ammeter. Use higher range.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Type and Typical Applications</td>
<td>Error Symptoms</td>
<td>Likely Causes</td>
<td>How to Avoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Resistance</strong></td>
<td>Offset Resistance</td>
<td>Lead Resistance</td>
<td>Four-wire method (Kelvin connections).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superconductor Resistance</td>
<td>Drift in Readings</td>
<td>Thermoelectric EMF</td>
<td>Pulse test signal (delta mode/offset compensate).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crack Growth/Fatigue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonding Resistance</td>
<td>Noisy Readings</td>
<td>Magnetic Interference</td>
<td>Remove/shield from magnetic fields. Arrange leads as twisted pairs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relay/Connector Contact Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Resistance</strong></td>
<td>Reading Too Low</td>
<td>Fixture Resistance in Parallel with DUT</td>
<td>Use fixture and cables with higher insulation R. Guarding will effectively increase shunt R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulation Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Insulation Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of PC Boards, Substrates, or Packages</td>
<td>Low Voltmeter Input R</td>
<td>Use force voltage/measure current method.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Resistivity</td>
<td>Offset Current</td>
<td>Suppress or REL the current offset with test voltage off. Use alternating voltage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer Conductivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface/Volume Resistivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four-point Probe</td>
<td>Noisy Readings</td>
<td>Electrostatic Coupling</td>
<td>Shield and avoid movement and fluctuating voltages nearby. Use alternating voltage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spreading Resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voltage from a High Resistance Source</strong></td>
<td>Reading Too Low</td>
<td>Shunt Resistance</td>
<td>Fixture and cables with higher insulation R. Guarding will effectively increase shunt R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH or Ion Selective Electrode</td>
<td>Offset Current</td>
<td>Use electrometer.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric Absorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FET Gate Voltage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall Effect Voltage</td>
<td>Noisy Readings</td>
<td>Electrostatic Coupling</td>
<td>Shield and avoid movement and fluctuating voltages nearby.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use electrometer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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